

10


7
File No. NP 41

P. W. DEPARTMENT

BRANCH

MRV

Subject:—



INSPECTION REPORT

OF.

KARNATAKA PUBLIC HEALTH WORKS DN.

For

1951-52

File No:

NP 41

1
GUM LINE

MEMORANDUM

No. **P.W.V-K-297**.....

Dated the.....

From

THE A.G., BOMBAY......

To

**The Executive Engineer,
Karnatak Public Health Works Division
DHARWAD.**.....

Subject..... **Inspection Report for the year 1951-52.**.....

Please refer to this office letter No.P.W./K/1829-A dated 4-3-1953 and furnish further information in respect of the paras mentioned therein.

V.J.25.5./

No.P.W.V/K/297-B

ASSTT. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

Dt. **29 MAY 1953.**

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department, Bombay information. The Executive Engineer, may be instructed to furnish further information in respect of the paras Nos. 7(iii), 10(c), 17(a), 18(a), 19(b)(i), 20 for Bad workmanship, 21(b), 22(a)(i), 22(a(ii)), 25(f), 25(a), 26(d), 26(c) of the Inspection Report for the year 1951-52.

D. B. C.
ASSTT ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

3

NP 41 / 63552
PWD

Jbr.

P-1

Submitted.

2 The Ex. Engr., K.P.H.W. on. That was may
be addressed as per draft put up for approval
P-5

Pri
3-6-53.

Sas
3-6-53

A.S. III

Letter

5

P.W.D.

B.C. (B.No.1)

No. NP 41/63552 - J

8th 6-53

To

The Ex. Engr.,
~~K.P.H. & S.~~, Karnatak Public Health Works Div.
~~Dharwar~~ Dharwar.

Sub:- Inspection Report for the
year 1951-52

P-1

Ref:- Letter No. P.W.V/K/297-dated
the 29.5.53 from the A.G.B.
to the A.G. Bky.

2. Please furnish at an early date for
information called for ^{by him} ~~by the A.G. Bky.~~
in his letter No. P.W./K/1829-A dated the 4.3

in respect of paras No 7 (iii), 10(c), 17(a),
18(a), 19(b)(i), 20 for bad workmanship, 21(b),
22(a)(i) 22(a)(ii), 25(f), 25(a), 26(d) and 26(c)
of the Inspection Report mentioned above under
intimation to Govt.

by order etc.

S.A. mil.

Ass. to Engrs.
P.W.D.

Copy forwarded to
the P.H.E. to Govt.
the A.G. Bombay
for information

53

may 2 1-53
11/6/53

may 3/6

✓
1.4.276
616

ISSUED
5-6-53
ML

7

Express.

No. (A.2)A.1.55/K/7629 of 1953.

Page 1,

16 June, 1953.

To

The Executive Engineer,
Karnatak Public Health Works Dn., Dharwar.

Sub:- Inspection Report for the year 1951-52.

Reference - Government letter, Public Works Department
No. MP.41/63552-J, dated the 4th June, 1953.

2. Please expedite submission of the further replies to the paras mentioned in Government letter, Public Works Department No. cited above, without any further delay under intimation to Government.

3. In this connection your attention is invited to this office endorsement No. (A.2)A.1.55/15169 dated the 12-12-52 and subsequent reminder dated 4-3-53.

D.A:Mil.
SLP/16-6-53.

✓


Public Health Engineer
to Government.

Copy forwarded with compliments for information to :-

- ✓ 1. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department (Irrigation), Bombay.
2. The Accountant General, Bombay.

PWD
J

Await.

PWJ
19-6-53

9
19/6/53

Sunder

10/1/54
10/1/54

JAG
18/6/53
P. W. J.
File No. 41
Serial No. 571415

J
18-6-53


7

NP41/71415

PWDJ Br.

The Ex. Engr. Karnataka Public Health
works on canals with reference to E.C.
dated the 4.6.53 under E.M. No. NP41/63552-2
dated the 15-7-53.

P.W.
15/7/53



Sipali

Q
15/7/53

W
15/7

W
15/7

S. K. K.
27/8

I Be


The Ex. Engr. Karamdas Poochi - Mumbai
works on the letter number No. NDC/11/7145-I,

dt. ~~the~~ 24th August 1953, marked "urgent" and

II nd. ~~revised~~.

me
28.8.53

P.D.M.
28/8/53



I Be

Resubmitted with ~~all~~ ~~the~~ ~~off~~ ~~to~~ ~~be~~ ~~en~~
letter for approval.

me
29.11.53

P.D.M.
29-11-53

Yr Kale
12/11

!!.

NP 61 /
71415

Express Letter.

From - Bombranks, Bombay

To - the Ex. Engr, Kavalah Pambel.
Healer Works Division.

Ph: 20 D,

No. NP 61/71415 I

(B.C. (Bombay No. 1),
30th Nov 1953.

pl. refer to the correspondence ending
with your letter No. (A. 2) A. 1.55/K/7629,
dt. the 16-6-53 from the PHE to Govt.

and furnish urgently to the A.G., Bombay,
the information in respect of the Inspection
Report of your Division - for the year
1951-52.

2 This has also reference to P. 1 Memo No.
NP41/71413 T, dt. 15.5.53 and Superintendent
17-8-53. (C. W. Secretary)


Copy forwarded to the P. H. E. to Govt.

For information.

and
28.11.53.

Ans (26-12-53)
1/12

(S. W. Secretary)



1/12

Very Urgent.

NO.(A.4)/A.1.55/16071 of '53.

Poona 1, Dtd: 10th December '53.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Deptt.(Irrigation),
B o m b a y - 1 .

Subject:- Inspection report of the Karnatak
Public Health Works Division, Dharwar
for the year 1951-52.

Reference: Government letter No.NP.41/71415-I
dated 30.11.53. / 11

Replies to all the remaining paras of the above
Inspection report have already been forwarded to the
Accountant General, Bombay, under this office No.(A.4)/
A.1.55/12650 of 25.9.53 and 15260 of 25.11.53 except
replies to paras 22(a)(1) and 26(d).

Reply to para 22(a)(1) has since been received
from the Executive Engineer and it is being forwarded
to the Accountant General, Bombay, separately. Reply to
para 26(d) is still awaited from the Executive Engineer
and he is being expedited in the matter.

.D.A. Nil.
GB:8.12.53/
h


Public Health Engineer
to Government.

Copy forwarded with compliments for information
to the:-

1. Accountant General, Bombay.
2. Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public Health Works
Division, Dharwar.

In continuation of this office endatt.No.(A.4)/
A.1.55/15260 of 25.11.53.

Reply to para 26(d) of the above Inspection
report should be furnished to this office, immediately.

D.A.Nil.

I Be

24.1253

AWAEL

24.1253

24.1253

~~24.1253~~

24.1253

24.1253

NP41

144635

MEMORANDUM.

No. PWV/ K/1788

Dated the 18.10.53

From The Accountant General, To The Secretary to the Govt. of
 Bombay. Bombay, P.W.D. Bombay.

Subject. Inspection report of Karnatak P.H. Works dn. for the
 year 1951-52.

The inspection report of Karnatak P.H. Works division has been submitted to Government under this office endorsement no. PWV/ 1409 dt. 25-10-53. The inspection report may please be returned early to this office, with Government orders on the same.

Asstt. Accounts Officer.

m/p 16-12

<i>To</i>	O. L. G. S.
.....	
.....	
.....	
.....	
.....	
.....	

Very *too* *tel*
the *is* *very* *off* *the*
for *the* *the*
NP 41
14

149333

I

2 1 1 1 3

NP 41

149303

P. W. D.

IBE

The main case is under submission
through Q, N, W, F and A Branches
since Dec. 31-12-53.

no
3-2 5/4

Proc. Q, N, W, F and A.

Q Br

Handy 3/2
A 574

The Inspection Report of Karnataka Public Health Works Div.

For 1951-52 ~~document~~ bearing file No. NP40/1236305 was received
in this Div. on 29/1/54 and was returned to I Pr. on 1/2/54.

OK
4/2/54.

~~Handy 3/2~~
A 574
A note is
back in

for N
19/6

P.W. 3
I B

This may be
kept with the main
papers in which necessary
action has been taken
in the matter.

~~W. H. H.~~
~~2/2/24~~

5/2/24

Correspondence

DOCKET SHEET (B)

Subject: — I.R. E.E.

Karnatak Public Health
Works Or. 1951-52

File No. NP-407

P.O.

J.

References: —

Date.	To whom sent.	Notes and instructions.
20 ¹² / ₅₄	Regy (F.D)	Re. relation with letter
19 ¹ / _{9/54}	Regy (F.D)	Re relation with

No. of :
para- :
graph. :
:

Office Note.

Orders of Government.

5. The I.O. has pointed out the failure on the part of the Ex.Engr. and the S.D.O. to return the I.R. during the specified time. Orders of Govt. may be : "The Ex.Engr. and the S.D.O.s. under him should in future see that the inspection reports are returned within one month of the date of issue, without fail".

| 2m
14/5

6. The I.O. has brought to the notice of Govt. the delay on the part of the S.D.O. and the Ex.Engr. in the disposal of the audit notes. The orders of Govt. may be: "The S.D.Os. concerned should see that such delays do not occur in future."

| 2m
14/5

7(i)&(ii). The I.O. states that the persons responsible for taking measurement were not conversant with the rules and the M.Bs. were not properly maintained. He has pointed out a case in which the measurement of excavations and filling in were recorded on the same day. The I.O. wants to have an explanation as to how this can be done. Please see in this con. the explanation of the Ex.Engr. on p. 4. of the I.R. In view of that the orders of Govt. may be "The explanation of the Ex.Engr. is accepted".

| 2m
14/5

(iii) 15/3/54 Orders of Govt. may be: "Further report from the A.G.Bombay may be awaited".

- ch -

8. The A.G.Bombay has brought to the notice of Govt. that the schedule of rates for 1951-52 have not been revised according to local conditions by proper and adequate analysis. The Ex.Engr. has however noted this requirement. Orders of Govt. against this para may be: "The Ex.Engr. should avoid such omissions in future".

| 2m
14/5

10(a). Account
of empty cement
bags.

The I.O. has pointed out that accounts of empty cement bags have not been maintained as required under G.C.No.5949/36(3), dt. 10-2-1951. The Ex.Engr. has explained that S.D.Os. have been intimated to maintain the accounts as per Govt. Circular. In view of A.G.'s final remarks on the para orders on the para may be: "In view of the Ex.Engr's explanation, no orders of Govt. are necy."

24/14/51
x with the h. up
9 n. up

10(c). 7/18
act. 18/5/54

Govt. orders on the para may be: "Further report from the A.G. Bombay is awaited".

24/14/51

12(1).

The I.O. has brought to the notice of Govt. the preparation of defective contract documents in con. with the work of constructing Chowkidars' Quarters at Sarswati Colony, Dharwar. The Ex.Engr. states that this has occurred through oversight. Govt. orders on this para may be: "The Ex.Engr. should be very careful in future while preparing the contract documents".

24/14/51

12(ii).

10/5/54

The I.O. has pointed out that while preparing the original estimate in con. with the work of constructing tempy. quarters for tempy. and work charged overseers at Dhurwad, essential items were not included in the estimate which shows that the instructions given in C.E.'s Circular Memo.No.2545/36, dt. the 16-11-1942 were not properly observed by the Ex.Engr. The E.E. states that the variations were due to authorised changes during the execution of work. Govt. orders on this para may be: "The instructions issued in C.E.'s Cir.Memo.No.2545/36, dt. the 16-11-1942 should be observed scrupulously while preparing original estimates as well as while preparing tenders and contracts for the works".

24/14/51

13.

10/5/54

The I.O. points out three clear instances wherein attempts were made to avoid lapse of grant sanctioned for these particular cases. Pl. see the explns. of the E.E. In view of that the orders of Govt. may be: "The explns. of the E.E. are accepted".

24/14/51

15/3/5/54

The I.O. has pointed out that the materials required for several works such as "Kundgol Water Supply Scheme" "Providing water supply to Govt. Agril. College at Dharwar" etc. were transferred to from the works to "Neersagar Water Supply" practically every month throughout the yr. He inquires that if the materials were superfluous over the latter work why they were purchased in large quantities and whether the position was brought to the notice of the P.H.E. and the Govt. The cost of the materials transferred roughly amounts to more than Rs.22,000/-. The E.E. explains that the Neersagar Water Supply Scheme is a gigantic project costing about 1.5 crores and the varieties of materials required for the same ^{are} ^{p. 13 of report} immnumerable and these are essential to be kept on hand.

The E.E. states that the work was urgent and there was no time to obtain materials from other sources. It is also stated that the materials procured for other works were lying in stores and they could not be used immediately. In view of this, the E.E.'s explanation may perhaps be accepted and Govt. orders may be: "The E.E.'s explanation has been accepted. However the E.E. should avoid such transfers in future. If however such transfer of materials necessitate in view of urgency he should bring the fact to the notice of the P.H.E. in future".

15. Provn. of funds under 'stock' allowed to lapse. Pl. see the I.O.'s remarks against the para and the E.E.'s explanation and the S.E.'s remarks against it. In the circumstances stated by the E.E. and as the 'Suspense' is a fluctuating item, the E.E. explanation may perhaps be accepted. If so, orders on the para may be: "The E.E.'s expln. is accepted."

2m
14/5

P.H.E

12/4
14/5

12/5/52

17(a).

The I.O. has pointed out that 4 Cwts. of cement were issued to the contractor 20 days after the recording of final measurements. He has asked for the explanation of this post-issue since the items of work involving the use of cement were already executed. He has also stated that the source from which the cement was obtained may be verified by the E.E. or whether the items were carried out without the required quantity of cement and thus defective in their construction. The E.E. is awaiting the SDO's reply. The failure to obtain the unstamped acknowledgments from the contractors for supply of the materials to work in time has been brought to the notice of Govt. by the A.G. Bombay. Copies of para 17(a) may be taken for further action. In view of this Govt. orders may be "The matter is under consideration and Govt. orders on para 17(a) will follow".

24/15

17(b).

The A.G. Bombay has not offered his remarks on para 17(b), apparently no further action is necy. on it.

18(a).

Orders of Govt. may be: "Further report from the A.G. Bombay may be awaited."

24/15

19(b)(i)

-do-

19(b)(ii)

The I.O. has pointed out that certain items of Kundgol Water Supply Scheme were executed by the contractor even prior to their being sanctioned by the P.H.E. The E.E. states that PHE had already agreed to the rates and only formal approval was delayed. The A.G. however brings this irregularity to the notice of Govt. Orders of Govt. may be: "The irregularity committed by the E.E. in allowing the contractor to execute certain items prior to their sanction is condoned by Govt. The E.E. should, however, strictly adhere to the procedure laid down by Govt. in future".

24/15

15/3/14

20(a). Constn. of a tempy. store for workshop at Dhurwad.

Pl. see the I.O.'s remarks against para 20 and the E.E.'s explanation against the said para. The E.E. states that the contractor did not put in application *for explanation* due to his being unaware of these rules and partly because there was delay in supplying the sheets which were not available with the Deptt. Reg. the I.O.'s remarks about bad workmanship that the expd. will have to be written off, the Ex. Engr. states that the question of the write off of the cost of the work does not arise as it can be used for some other useful purpose during construction as a large workshop is now constructed. The E.E. is however awaiting the SDO's explanation. The S.E. states that the ¹ ~~expd.~~ ^{leave} may *kindly* be condoned, by Govt. Orders of Govt. on this para may be: "The irregularity committed in extending the time limit to the contractor is hereby condoned. The E.E. should however, avoid such lapses in future. Re. bad workmanship the SDO's explanation *is* ~~may be~~ awaited".

20(b)

11/11/54

The I.O. has stated that payments were made at the rate Rs. 76 per 100 cft. for

constn. of a masonry pillar for which only 5 bags of cement were issued. The proportion of cement in the mortar was not specified in the rate ~~list~~. Ord. for such works 7 bags of cement would have been necy. The I.O. states that since the quantity of cement used was less the work executed must have been of a poorer ~~x~~ quality. The E.E. states that proportion of mortar was ^{not} mentioned due to oversight and that the rate was reasonable because the centre line pillar work was required to be done over a long length of 4800' (approx). The orders of Govt. may be: "The E.E. should in future be more careful and should see that ~~xxxxxxx~~ all details such as proportion of cement in the mortar are invariably included in the rate ^{list} ~~test~~".

11/11/54

24/11/54

24/11/54

21. Delays in Payments.

Pl. see the I.O.'s remarks re. delays in payment made to contractors. It is stated therein that measurements should be recorded at the earliest possible opportunity and that monthly bills also should be prepared in accordance with cl. 10 of the contract agreements. The I.O. further states that there were 16 cases of delays in payment, in some cases the delays in payment being over 6 months. The E.E. states that the SDOs have been instructed to avoid delays ^{as per} Govt. orders. Orders on the para may be: "All concerned should be instructed to avoid delays and to strictly follow the rules and orders issued by Govt. in the ~~case~~ ^{connection}."

24/14/55

Para 21(b).
Constg. a retaining wall at High Service Reservoir

Pl. see the I.O.'s remarks in which it is stated that though the work ^{done} ~~due~~ after 17-4-50 should have been measured and paid monthly, it was not done with the result that when the wall collapsed on 20-7-50, due to heavy rains, the difficulty has arisen as to how the payment for the work so far done during the 3 months should be made. It is further stated that the S.D.O.'s explanation should be called for and considered by Govt. before payment on unmeasured portion is authorised. Pl. see the E.E.'s explanation which ^{he} has explained in details. The E.E. states thereon that he is awaiting SDO's explanation. Orders on this para may be "The E.E. should submit the SDO's explanation to Govt. thro: the A.G.".

24/14/55

22 a(1) & (ii)

The I.O. has brought to the notice of Govt. some extension granted by the Ex. Engr. which he states ^{was} ~~were~~ not justified. The Ex. Engr. is awaiting the report from the S.D.O. Final orders of Govt. cannot be issued without having a report of Ex. Engr. in the matter. The interim orders may therefore be: "Orders of Govt. will be issued after receipt of the report of the Ex. Engr."

24/14/55

24/14/55

23(a)(1).

The I.O. has brought to the notice of Govt. the execution of extra items in con. with the work of constructing common latrines for staff quarters at Dhumwad, prior to their sanction. The explanation given in this con. by the Ex. Engr. seems to be convincing. The ^{PHE} S.E. has stated that he has instructed the E.E. to sanction the rates without delay and before the work is executed. Govt. orders on this para may be: "The Ex. Engr. should strictly avoid such irregularities in future".

24/1/53

24(a).

The I.O. has brought to the notice of Govt. Failure on the part of the Ex. Engr. in not demanding solvency certificates signed by Collr. from a new contractor before entrusting some work to him as reqd. by G.R., P.W.D. No. 8154/27 dt. the 8-11-53. The E.E. has since then obtained a solvency certificate issued by City Survey Officer. Orders of Govt. may therefore be: "Action of the ~~Ex. Engr.~~ in not obtaining the solvency certificate before giving out work to the contractor is condoned."

Also - He should be caught in future by obtaining Solvency Certificate
24/1/53

24(b).

The I.O. has stated that as the contractor failed to do the work according to the time schedule laid in contract, his full security deposit should have been recovered from his bill. But the I.O. points out that this was not done. The E.E. states that the recovery of deposit is made as per conditions of contract. As the explanation of the Ex. Engr. is not clear, he may be requested to ~~clarify~~ clarify further. Orders of Government may be: "Matter is under the consideration of Govt. and necessary orders will be issued in due course."

The E.E.'s statement is accepted.
24/1/53

25(a).

Orders of Govt. may be: "The P.H.E. should expedite submission of his report to the A.G. Bombay".

24/1/53

25(e).

The I.O. has pointed out an instance where a work which was to have been completed within 1 1/2 month was dragged on for about 11 months by giving extension without applying

applying penalty clause. The I.O. wants to know whether any work-charged establt. was engaged and if so what was the cost; and if not, how the Ex.Engr. was satisfied that the rubble collected was of the specified size. Pl. see the explanation of the E.E. It will be seen that one tempy. overseer was supervising the work. He has, however, not stated the expdre. on the overseer during the 11 months. Obviously had the work been completed within 1½ months a lot of expdre. on the overseer would have been saved.

Orders of Govt. may therefore be: "The explanation of the Ex.Engr. is not satisfactory.

In future he should see that the terms of contract are properly enforced so as to

avoid unnecessary expdre. to Govt."

26(b)

The I.O. has pointed out that some steel M.S.bars were issued to a contractor for use on the construction of a wall at Dhumawad. The work was carried out by some other agency but the cost of the M.S.bars issued to the contractor have not yet been recovered. The Ex.Engr. has explained that the cost of the steel has been recovered.

In the circumstances, Govt. orders may be: "The Ex.Engr.'s explanation is accepted.

The Ex.Engr. should however adhere strictly to the rules under para 242 of the C.P.W.D. Accounts Code".

26(c)

Pl. see para 26(c). The I.O. has pointed certain irregularities in the issue of A.C.sheets at Dhumnad and Kamihonnapur. The E.E. has explained that the staff being not acquainted with P.W.D. procedure the materials issued to one contractor and lying in Govt. premises only were reissued to another contractor in the Div. under the presumption that he would supplement the material on receipt of fresh stock. He has stated that the transaction has since been set right. In view of this Govt. orders may be "The E.E. should instruct the SDOs to avoid such irregularity in future."

The E.E. should follow the rules regarding Contract Agreement scrupulously.
24/1/5

24/1/5

5

24/1/5

24/1/5

26(d)
& (e).

Govt. orders on the paras may be: "Further report from the A.G. Bombay is awaited."

24/11/54

by
S. S. S.

AG/3.5.54

Submitted.

2. The A.G. Bombay has submitted the Inspection Report of the Ex. Engr., Karnatak Public Health Works Divn. for the year 1951-52, for orders of Govt. The paras of the Inspection Report on which the orders of Govt. are reqd. to be given have been noted upon and are arranged in an statement form on p. 1-9 ante. If the orders as proposed are approved the papers will be referred u.o. to the F.D. for concurrence.

Thro: 'Q', 'N', 'W', 'B', 'B' Brs. & D.E. (PH)

3 Remark 24(b):-

In connection with the security deposit, please see para 209 P.L.D. Manual Vol. I which clearly states that initial security deposit ^{to be taken} should be moderate and deductions from the current bills should be a prominent feature. The Ex. Engr's action in recovering ^{deposit} from the R.A. bills was in order. The E.E's explanation may therefore be accepted.

Remark 25(c) :- The A.G. has raised two points, as below

- (i) The financial loss to Govt. due to payment to the work-charged staff on account of delay in completion of the work and
- (ii) How the S.D.O. satisfied about proper size of rubble collected

Regarding (i), The E.E. has stated that overseer on temporary establishment was supervising the work. This does not involve cost on work-charged staff. This should be one of the many works (duties) performed by the temporary overseer. There appears to be no extra cost to Govt. Regarding (ii), it is explained that the S.D.O. has...

AG/3.5.54

has personally inspected some of the matters and
has satisfied himself.

The points ~~re~~ raised by the A.G. are replied to, as above.

The point needs to be considered is if four extensions, as
granted by the E.E. could be justified. The E.E. should
have enforced penalty clause at least at the time of granting
third extension. The orders of Govt. on this point may be

"The E.E. should follow ^{scrupulously} rules regarding contract
agreements."

MA
14.5.54

24
14/5

h.s.

2
12.5.54

CE(D)A JS.

Immediate
x

Wor to F.D. of 19-5-54
(with the Inspection Report ~~and~~ in part)

1. U.S. (28-1-54)

135-

F.D.

VI

261
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
CIB No. VI - 3
19 - 5 - 54
14298

2615

NP 40

69535

1

5.5

Seen and returned to the P.W.D.
Action proposed against para 25 (c) of the
Inspection Report seems to be too mild looking
to the gross disregard on the part of the E.E. of
the rules. ~~Even assuming that~~ Even assuming that
there is no extra person ~~involved~~ involved by way of work-charged
establishment, Govt. has been deprived of the time that
could have been legitimately ~~been~~ recovered from the
defaulting contractor. The P.W.D. may be requested to consider
sterner action would not be called for in this case.

This/1
U.S. (A)

27/5/54

21.5.54

Wor to P.W.D. of 27/5/54

27/5

13

I. Br.P.W.D.

Submitted with refce. to
the HQ of the 19-5-1954. 6/12

2. In view of the F.D. note on
fore page it is for consideration
whether what action should be
taken on para 25(c) of the I.R.

16
31/5/54

lma
2.6.54

Thro DC(PH)

DSC/205

A personal letter pointing out the lapse may
perhaps be addressed to the E.E.

P. 16

CE/JS
(D).

2
6.6.54

M
21

GUM LINE

MEMORANDUM

No. PW.V.IC.1017

Dated the 07 JUN 1954

From The Accountant General,
BOMBAY.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Bombay,
Public Works Deptt.
BOMBAY.

Subject Inspection report of Karnatak P.H. Works Division,
Dharwar for the year 1951-52

The inspection report of Karnatak Public Health Works Division, for the year 1951-52 has been submitted to Govt. under this office endorsement No. PW.V.10-1409 dt. 25-10-53. It is requested that the report may please be returned early with Govt. orders.

m. Rama Sanyal 7/6/54
Asstt. Accountant General.

kgs/5

O. I. G. S.

To

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

✓ 6/27/66
O.S.
-1

652 Lr.
FD m2-
Bl. 812.0 K
Roll 1/9/66

P. W. D.

349 NP-40

76219

I
9-6-54.

Ibr

P.W.D.

Submitted with refce. to
the AS(De); not on pl. 3 ante.

2. New copies of the relevant
pages will be kept for taking
recy. action. In the meantime
the I.R. may be returned to
the A.G., with the order of Govt.
Hereon. Copies may also be kept
of pages noted in the margin.

3. AS(iii) will kindly sign the
encl. forwarding the I.R. to the
A.G.

Thro G, M, W & B Pers & Sec

* Pl. to copy the page
+ state co.
Also indicate the para
of which copies are to
be retained.

AS T
11/5

AS(iii)

11/6/59
Orders against paras 10(c), 14, 17(c), 26(c)
(d) & (e) have been copied out,

N Br.

Orders against para 8 have
been copied out in the Inspection Report

12.6.59.

112 x 24

W. B.

3.30/16/6/59
17/6/59
10(c), 17(c)
7 (iii) 18(c), 19(b),
x para 27(c) & ii
25(a)
28(e)
36(a)
26(b)
30(a)
21(b)

Done pl.
15-6-59.

W. Br.

Necy. orders of Govt. have been copied out -
against paras. 12(i), (ii) 20(a), 21(a)(b) & 23(a)(i).

P.B.
14/6/54

SD
14/6/54

Br.

Necy. orders have been copied
out against para. 15 with which
this Br. is concerned.

P.B.
14/6/54.

I Br. shd. kindly check
up before issue.

2. Typing Section will pl.
type copies reqd. quickly.

A.S. (III)

P.B.
- 15-6-54

T₀

I. B.
14.6.54

1951-52.

Report of an Inspection of the Office of the Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public Health Works Division.

Serial number: of paragraph of Report.	Inspecting Officer's remarks.	Executive Engineer's : explanation.	Superinending Engineer's: : remarks and orders.	Accountant General's: : final remarks.	Order's of : Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6

7. Defective Measurements.

The following instances reveal that the persons responsible were not quite conversant with the rules and that measurement books were not maintained in a manner so as to achieve the object of a reliable record which may have to be produced as evidence in a court of law.

(iii) Constructing Temporary Kachha Quarters at Dhumwad for accommodating temporary and workcharged overseers.

As per M.B.1087 pages 48 and 52 to 69 the following erroneous measurements were recorded on 21-12-1949 and various dates in January 1950. The erroneous measurements were detected while recording final measurements subsequently on 22-2-1950 and 23-2-1950, as per M.B.No.1155 pages 1 to 35.

	Erroneous	Correct.
Uncoursed rubble Masonry.	2602 cft.	2560 Cft.
Providing and fixing A.C.Sheets.	3316.5 "	3217.5 "

The explanation of the persons concerned called for from the Sub Divisional Officer as per Executive Engineer's letter No.4140 dated 14-7-50 is still awaited.

7(111).A.C.sheets:
Difference in measurements is due to the different methods of taking measurements. The S.D.O. had first taken the measurements of the sheets and ridges separately ~~which~~ which were subsequently taken from top of the ridge to the end of the sheets and not separately.

7(111). Vide Executive Engineer's remarks.
The explanation for the difference in uncoursed masonry is awaited from the Executive Engineer.

7(111) Further Report is awaited from P.H.E.to Govt. of Bombay.

7(111) Further report from the A.G.Bombay may be awaited.

1	2	3	4	5	6
10. Account of Empty Cement Bags.					
(c) The Neersagar Sub-Dn. also shows a discrepancy of 157 bags in its account for April and May 51 the total issue for April 51 to contractors being 265; the number for May 51 is shown as 108 only.		10(c) The S.D.O. reports that the account is maintained correctly.	10(c) The Executive Engineer's reply is not to the point he is requested to reconcile the discrepancy and his reply is awaited.	10(c) Further Report is awaited from P.H.E. to Govt.	10(c) Further report from the A.G., Bombay, is awaited.
The discrepancy may please be reconciled.					
17. Constructing 4 seated W.C. Indian style for staff quarters at Kanvihonnapur.					
a) The above work given out for execution on agreement No.B.2-8 for 50-51 was completed within the stipulated period by 4-12-50 and the final measurements were recorded on 3-12-50 (MEK 9126-page 28) and paid for in C.V.No.14 dated 12-1-1951. It is however seen that 4 cwt. of cement were issued to the contractor on 23-12-50 i.e. 20 days after the recording of final measurements and this post issue of cement may please be explained and since the items of work involving the use of cement were already executed. The source from which the cement was obtained may be verified by the Executive Engineer or it may be scrutinised if the items were carried out without the required quantity of cement and thus defective in their construction.	17(a) The S.D.O.'s reply is awaited.	17(a) Vide Executive Engineer's reply.	17(a) Failure to obtain unstamped acknowledgement from the contractor for the supply of materials to him in time is brought to the notice of Government.	17(a) Matter is under consideration of Govt. and orders will follow.	

1

2

3

4

5

6

18. Construction of 2 Crude Oil Storage tanks at Dhamwad.

(a) The above work was let out on agreement No.B.-2 9 of 1949.50. The work with the tendered cost of Rs.23770 was to have been completed within a period of 3 months from the date of starting on.29-9-1949. As the progress of work was very slow even after an extension granted upto 28.2.50 the Executive Engineer informed him that appropriate penalty was being awarded under the terms of the contract. There is nothing to indicate what the appropriate action was. No action was taken under clause 2 or 3 of the agreement and the remaining work of Rs.5922 was given out on 19.8.50, to another contractor (on agreement No.B.2-6) whose tender was the second lowest. Before the unfinished work was given to another contractor the finished work of the defaulting contractor should have been measured after giving a due notice under clause 3(c) of the agreement and the contractor's signature taken in the measurement book as required by rules so as to prevent any chances of conflict with the contractor regarding the quantities of work done by him. Besides materials like cement and M.B.Bars were issued to the contractor and so far no account of the same has been obtained. Failure to do this may please be explained.

18(a) The work was entrusted to another contractor under clause 3(c) of the B.-2 Tender.

18(a) Vide Executive Engineer's reply. Further report giving full details of the transaction has been called from the Executive Engineer and is awaited.

18(a) Further report is awaited from the A.G., Bombay may be awaited.

Await

9. Kundgol Water Supply Scheme.

b)(i) The tender prepared by the State authorities was defective in as much as in the case of several items the quantities were not specified. (e.g. in the case of items 15 to 23 quantities were not specified) Besides on the inspection of the scheme by the Public Health Engineer the scheme was considered as imperfect and had to be recast at a cost of Rs.324703 as per

19(b)(i) The service reservoir as proposed in the scheme, approved by the Jamkhandi State was a ground reservoir. On examination of the scheme by this circle it was found that such a ground reservoir would not serve the purpose well. It was therefore, raised above ground level

19(b)(i) The contract given by the State authorities was not terminated to avoid legal complications and dislocation of work. For the analysis of extra items further

19(b)(i) Further Report is awaited from P.H.E. to Govt.

19(b)(i) Further report from A.G., Bombay may be awaited.

2

per plans and designs submitted to the C.E. under the Public Health Engineer's No.S.W. 3/34/773 dated 20-1-50 and approved and technically sanctioned under G.R.,P.W.D., No.9431/36, dated 28-5-51. In view of the major deviations proposed in the scheme, it is not clear why the works was not withdrawn from the contractors. As a result of retaining the contract as many as 13 extra item rate lists for an aggregate amount of Rs.44906 were sanctioned and important ones are given below :-

1. Excavation in murrum only including all lift and lead upto 1 fly. 66000 Cft. @ Rs.5/- per cft. ... Rs.3000
2. Rubble masonry in cement mortar 1:4 for service reservoir with precast cement concrete blocks 1:3:6 for external facing etc. etc. as per drawing with cement pointing to external faces 5000 cft. @ Rs.160/- per 100 cft. ... Rs.8000
3. R.C.C.work in 1:2:4 for slabs and lintels etc. with steel reinforcement not exceeding 1 p.c. with centering, curving etc. 15000 cft. Rs.6/- per cft. ... Rs.9000
4. -do- for beams and heavy lintels steel reinforcement not exceeding 3% 800 Cft.at Rs.7 per cft. ... Rs.5600

The above items were not in the schedule of rates besides no plans and estimates were prepared. Under the circumstances, analysis of the items costing Rs.5000/- and above should have been prepared and scrutinised by the Public Health Engineer as required by para 379b(1) of the B.P.W.D. annual Vol.I before the rates were sanctioned by the Public Health Engineer.. As there were no sanctioned plans and estimates for these works, these items could have been sanctioned by Government only and not by the Public Health Engineer. This irregularity is also brought to the notice of Government.

Had

level supported on walls. The tank portion masonry work had, therefore, necessarily to be changed to have water tight reservoir. Since local stone was not good for water tight structure for reasons as above for which the reservoir was raised necessitated use of R.C.C. beams and slab for the bed of the tank.

Govt. approval to proceed with the work started by the merged state was obtained until preparation of plans and estimates on detailed study was made and estimates were sanctioned by Government. Rates in the estimates submitted to Govt. were provided after analysing the local rates at Kundgol.

The merged state has entered into an agreement with the contractors and it was not thought proper to withdraw from such a commitment as it would have involved the successor Govt in unnecessary legal complications besides hampering the progress on work already undertaken.

further information has been called, from the Executive Engineer which is awaited.

Had the work been withdrawn at the initial stage fresh tenders could have been invited and Govt. would have got the benefit of competitive rates. The circumstances in which this was not done may please be explained.

22. Extensions.

(a)(i) During the year 1950-51 13 extensions were granted in respect of 9 agreements and in case of 2 extensions were under consideration. The extensions were granted on the usual plea of not getting the required labour and trucks, unusual rains. Since time is the essence of contract and such extensions defeat the object of contracts as well as involve unnecessary expenditure on work charged establishment, the same may be justified in the case of agreement No.(ii). B-2-16 of 49-50 for underground drainage scheme for staff quarters at Dhumwad.

22(a)(i) Report is awaited from the S.D.O.

22(a)(i) Vide Executive Engineer's reply.

22(a)(i)&(ii). The liberal grant of extensions by the Executive Engineer on the usual plea of not getting the required labour and trucks, unusual rains acquisition proceedings not completed, non availability of materials which involve unnecessary expenditure on work charged establishment require justification.

22(a)(i)(ii) Orders of Govt. will be issued after receipt of the report of the Executive Engineer.

(ii) B-2-6 for constructing H.S.R. at Saraswat Colony Dharwar.

22(a)(ii). Report is awaited from the S.D.O.

22(a)(ii). -do-

25. Supply of rubble and metal along 27" rising main of the Neersagar Water Supply Scheme.

a) A notice for tenders was issued on 30-3-50 and the period was only 12 days. The response was only 2 tenders. As the lowest tenderer did not comply with the formalities of agreement, a fresh notice of only 7 days was issued on 5-6-50 and the lowest of the 4 tenders received was 56 p.c. higher

25(a) The rates of Rs.10/8 and 7/8/0 per 100 cft. for metal and rubble were approximate and not scheduled rates. These could not be compared with schedule of rates as there was no separate rate for collection and loading and unloading only, since the rates of

25(a) The Executive Engineer's contention in accepting the tender is not correct. He is instructed to report the case in full details to consider further action.

25(a) Further report is awaited from P.H.E. to Government.

25(a) The P.H.E. should expedite the submission of his report to the A.G., Bombay.

higher than the estimated cost (The tendered rates of 16 and 14 per 100 cft. were amount being Rs.6900/- against the estimated considered reasonable the tender was cost of Rs.4275/-) The tender was for colle- accepted hence the question of ction of rubble and metal at the dam site accepting the tender 56% a and there were no sanctioned rates for these estimated rate does not a in the Schedule of rates. In the circumst- ances the tender should have been accepted under Govt. orders. The irregularity is brought to the notice of Govt. It may be pointed out in this connection that the rate paid for rubble at quarry was Rs.14/- per 100 cft. and metal on quarry Rs.16/- per 100 cft. as against the rates Rs.10/8/- and Rs.7/8/- per 100 cft. respectively sanctioned in the schedule of rates in Dharwar Division.

(e) The work which was started on 8-7-50 was to have been completed by 22.8.50. His first application for an extension of 2 months was dated 19.9.50. In his letter No.2092 dated 9.11.50 the Sub Divisional Officer reported that the Contractor had collected only 125 brass of metal against the stipulated quantity of 300 brass. In his letter No.2167 dated 13.11.50 the Sub Divisional Officer recommended the time limit upto 15.12.50 on the ground that the material stacked at the quarry was again to be restacked, and the necessary extension of nearly 4 months for a work originally intended to be completed within 1½ months in the Executive Engineer No.A-1-NA/6911 dated 18.11.50. A further extension upto 25.1.51 was applied for by the Contractor in his letter dated 24-12-50 on the ground that he had only one lorry for transport and this extension was also granted by the Executive Engineer in his No.T.A.R.27/25/5 ~~dated~~ dated 28-12-50. Further extensions without penalty were given as follows :-

Date of Application.	Ground.	Date of sanction.	Period.
5.1.51.	Shortage of lorries.	E.E's No.	28-3-51.
10.3.51.	His truck has gone out of order.	A-1-NA/1862 dt.19.3.51	
	It		

(e) No work charged establishment was engaged. Only one overseer on temporary establishment was supervising the work.

(e) Vide Executive Engineer's reply.

(5) Failure to enforce the terms of contract is brought to the notice

(e) The Executive Engineer should follow the rules regarding con-

ly.

It is seen from the Sub Divisional Officer's No.A.4/1269 dated 3.5.51 that the work was still to be completed. Under the circumstances the Executive Engineer was not competent to sanction the extensions vide G.R.P.W.D.No.2463/48 dated 1.7.50. The manner in which the work which was to be completed within a month and a half was allowed to be dragged for about 11 months without applying penalty clause to the contractor is indicative of the way in which the financial interests of Govt. are disregarded. It is not possible to find out what work charged estt. was engaged for the supervision of the collection. If it was engaged the cost involved may be stated. If not it may please be stated how the Sub-Divisional Officer satisfied the rubble collected was of the size specified in the agreement after the Kattas were prepared and measurements taken.

Some of the kattas were personally inspected by the S.D.O. and he satisfied himself to be correct.

26. Defective Control over materials.
(d) The annual verification of the material at site account at the close of March 51 of Neersagar Head Works Sub-Division shows the following shortages.

26(d) Necessary action has been taken to recover the cost from the parties concerned and the matter is under correspondence.

26(d) Vide Executive Engineer's reply. Further report is awaited from the Executive Engineer.

26(d) Further report is awaited from the P.H.E. to Government.

26(d) Further report from the A.C., Bombay is awaited.

1. Cement 79½ bags.
2. Black wire 13.G.
3. Black pipe ¾"
4. G.I. Pipe ½"
5. Brass Cocks.
6. M.S. Bars. 3/16")
7. " 3/8")
8. " 1/2")
9. " 7/8")
10. Piglead.

T
Cwt. Qr. Lb.
0 1 0 7
12' - 4"
7 Sft. R
6 Nos.
0 7- 0 3½
21 lbs.

Value approximately.
Rs. 360-8-0
" 37-12-0
" 12-0-0
" 4-6-0
" 21-12-0
" 170-0-0
" 15-0-0

The above shortages are apparently due to defective control on the custody and use of the materials and responsibility will have to be fixed for the same before the same are written off.

1

2

3

4

5

6

26(e) It is noticed from the correspondence ending with the Public Health Engineer's letter No.S.W.A.3/10615 dated 19.9.50 that some of the quantity of the large stock of cement purchased in 48-49 and 49-50 and borne on material at site accounts of Works has deteriorated as the same was acquired before the works were started. This condition of the cement was noticed by the Executive Engineer as far back in August 49 when in his letter No.S.6/5111 dated 28-8-49, he proposed to the Public Health Engineer transferred the cement (2000 tons) to other divisions by the Public Health Engineer in his letter No.9066 dated 1-9-1949 gave instructions to defer this question till the tenders for dam were finalised. The exact quantity of this deteriorated and therefore to be written off may be stated and the circumstances in which such a large quantity was purchased far in advance explained.

A large stock of cement was obtained in 1949-50 when Govt. had before it the tenders for dam and waste weir cement had to be kept on hand to have uninterrupted progress on this important work. When started so as to eliminate claims from contractors.

- The cement on hand is being used and most of the lot is consumed on less important works by restricting the further supply.

About 160 bags of cement are clodded and report to write off the lost of the same has been made to P.H.E. and the matter is still under correspondence.

26(e) Vide Executive Engineer's reply.

26(e) Further report is awaited from the P.H.E. to Govt.

26(e) Further report from the A.G. is awaited.

20. Construction of a temporary stores for workshop at Dhumwad.

(a) The above was given for execution on 4-11-49 on agreement No.B-2-12 of 49-50 and was to have been completed by 3-1-50. As the G.I. Sheets required for the work were not received in time the contractor was allowed an extension of time till the 15th of March 1950. From the Sub Divisional Officers letter No.507 dated 5-4-50, the contractor could not complete the work nor did he ask for any extension of the period. The work was however completed in all respect on 27-6-50 and final measurements were recorded on that day on pages 24 to 29 of the MBK 900. After these final measurements were recorded on 27-6-50 it was noticed in August 1950 vide Sub Divisional Officer letter No.1259 dated 2-8-50 (copy enclosed) that the Walls and pillars of the shed had come down and the Sub Divisional Officer attributed this to the bad workmanship and using inferior materials like spalls, etc. in

20(a) The contractor did not put in application for extension due to his being unaware of the rules and probably for the fact that the delay was from the Dept. in not supplying the sheets which were not then available with the Dept.

As pointed out in the inspection note of the Ex. Engr. the collapse was due to bad workmanship on the part of the contractor that necessitated the repairs at his cost as is done.

The work shop was constructed for Depttl. workshop work of minor nature as at that time the work of the dam and waste weir to be given on regular contract. The utility of this workshop

: - was

20(a) Extension of time limit. As explained by the Executive Engineer the lapse may kindly be condoned by Government.

Bad workmanship. Vide Executive Engineer's reply.

20(a) The irregularities are brought to the notice of Govt. for condonation.

20(a) The irregularity committed in extending the time limit to the contractor is hereby condoned. The Ex. Engr. should however, avoid such lapses in future.

Reg. bad workmanship the S.D.O's explanation is awaited.

in the masonry. As the contractor did not carry out the special repairs to rectify the defects, steps were taken to get the work done departmentally at a cost of Rs.258/6/- vide C.V.No.7 for 2/50, 4,17,36 for 10/50 and 5 for 11/50.

It is however seen from the contractor's letter dated 4-4-51 that the collapse of the walls was due to defective designing in carrying out the masonry in mud mortar and not due to bad workmanship. From the Executive Engineer's Inspection note dated 2-8-50 (copy enclosed) it is noticed that the collapse was due to the bad work on the part of the contractor. If the cracks etc. is due or to the bad workmanship it will have to be decided if it would be safe to use the workshop and if not the expenditure so far incurred will have to be written off. The explanation of the overseer and the Sub Divisional Officer for allowing the inferior work to be done may be called for and considered.

If on the other hand badworkmanship was responsible for the cracks, etc., it is not clear how the S.D.O. recorded the final measurements and thereby accepted the final measurements and thereby accepted the work as in good condition. His explanation may be obtained and submitted to Govt. for orders. It may be pointed in this connection that the Sub-Divisional Officer in his letter No.1074 dated 23-6-50 (i.e. only 4 days before recording final measurements) to the contractor pointed out to only minor defects e.g. fixing lower bolts etc. and that there was no mention regarding bad work on the part of the contractor.

21. Delays in Payment.

(b) The work of constructing a retaining wall at High service reservoir at Saraswatpur was given out for execution on agreement No.

--: 9 :-

was for a period of two to three years i.e. until the dam and waste weir work remained in progress. The building as completed in June 50 and after remedying the defect in 1950 has so far stood alright as other mud mortar schist stone masonry buildings on the site. The question of the write off the cost of the work in question should not arise as it can be used for some other useful purpose during construction as a large work shop is now constructed.

The explanation of the S.D.O. is awaited.

21(b) Report from the S.D.O. is awaited.

21(b) Vide Executive Engineer's reply.

21(b) The Ex. Engr. explanation is for should

1

2

3

4

5

6

No B-2-14 of 49-50 and the first R.A. Bill for Rs. 1356 was paid vide C.V. No. 17 dated 22-4-50 based on measurements recorded on 16 and 17 April 50 on pages 12 and 13 of the MBK 532. Though the work done after 17.4.50 should have been measured and paid monthly, it was not so done with the result that when the wall collapsed on 20-7-50 due to heavy rains, the difficulty has arisen as to how the payment for the work so far done during the three months should be made. The explanation of the Sub Divisional Officer responsible for the delay should be obtained and considered by Govt. before the payment on unmeasured portion is authorised.

21(b) for the consideration of Government. (A copy of E.E's explanation attached).

21(b) should be instructed to avoid delays and to strictly follow the rules and orders issued by Govt. in this connection. The Ex. Engr. should submit the S.D.O's explanation to Govt. through the A.G.

PSM. 17/6/54.

K.R.
1976

Delay in payment :- Constructing a retaining wall at High service Reservoir at Saraswatpur, Dharwar, Work done on the above retaining wall up to 15-4-50 and which was fully susceptible of accurate measurements and which thus would not have been required to be measured again for final measurements, was measured on 16th and 17th April 1950. A small part was not measured with the Contractor's consent thereto in view of the following...

"The unmeasured portion was curved in plan, wide at bottom and battered on both faces to taper to narrow, width at top. Thus both the face and back of the wall, had variable radii of curvatures from bottom to top. Further, also the wall was ending not vertically but had been kept on an irregular slope. Some what in the manner of steps to bond well with the further masonry to come. The measurements of the wall on the curved portion under these circumstances, would have been very complicated and at best a close approximation.

No further work however could be done on the retaining wall after 15-4-50. The site for the wall was heaped over with the excavated stuff from the High Service Reservoir. Its removal was under progress to clear the site. In the meanwhile the wall calloapsed on 20-7-50 and all further work on the wall was necessarily abandoned. Thus the unmeasured portion of the wall originally remained unbilled so since no further work had been done and therefore no further measurements had been recorded.

When the wall started to collapse with face stones peeling off a disagramatic sketch of the curved unmeasured portion was got made by the overseer, and it was fully dimensioned on actual measurements. The dimensions were then checked by the then S.D.O. The measurements could not be recorded in the time available then due to their complicated nature.

Thus it will be seen that, there had been no real delay. A small portion only had been left unmeasured to avoid approximate measurements."

When a fully dimensioned sketch on taking actual measurements of the unmeasured portion of the wall could be prepared by the overseer it is not understood why the S.D.O. did not take and record the measurements of the unmeasured curved portion of the wall from 15-4-50 to 20-7-50 particularly when there was no further work done by the contractor after 15-4-50. Since the measurements were not recorded on M.B. Government is being approached separately to allow to pay the contractor on the basis of quantities arrived at on the diagramatic sketch prepared on the strength of measurements.

PSM.17/6/54.

K.Y.19-16

880 2 1 P pt p 60 20
21/6

RECEIVED
28/1/14
Date.....

huc 11
26/8

Ar. ~~(.4m/3)~~ ✓
m/b

To ✓
Tbr.
25/8

T. Br.

Resubmitted.

2. A report on para. 22(a)(i) & (ii) of the G.R. may be called for from the Ex. Engr. as per draft put up for approval.

Thro' Q. Br.

x re: para 17(a)

Q. Br.

27/8/54

Q. Br.

pp 35-36

Q. Br. 27/8

A.S. (III)

With ref to para 17(a), the draft put up may issue.

K.M.
31/8/54

Since the same officer is being addressed on the same subject, it is preferable to make one draft instead of two separate drafts. T. Br. will ss pt.

A.S. (III)
A.S. (II)

pl. resubmit accordingly.

~~000~~

1-7-54.

~~3/1/54~~

$\frac{T_0}{T}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ $\frac{Br}{2}$

2-554

Shr. 1)
3/1

Not to issue

No. NP.40/76219-T 33
41.

P. W. D.

B. C. (B. Bay No. 1)

Aug. 54

Subject: Inspection Report of the
Kannatale P. H. Works Dr.
Dharwar for the year 1951-52
by the A. G. B. Bay.

Memorandum.

The undersigned presents compliments to the Ex. Engr., Kannatale P. H. Works Dr., and is directed to request him to submit his report on para. 22(a)(i)+(ii) of the above-mentioned Inspection Report.

By order etc.

D.A. Nil

Asst. Secy. to the G. of B.

Copy forwarded to the A.C. Library
for information

AB
27/8/52

Att. 1000

35-

P.40/76219

No. NP.40/76219 Q.

P.W.D.,
B.C. (B'kay No.1),
8-54.

Memo

Subject.. Inspn. Report of K.P.H.W.
Dn. for the year 1951-52.

The Chd. p.c. to the Ex. Engrs., K.P.H.W. Dn. and
with refec. to para 17 (a) of the above mentioned
report, is directed to request him to submit
a report ^{to go through P.H.E. Inst.} explaining the cause of
A avts. of cement even after completion of the
work & recording of final measurements. ~~the~~
It should also be explained as to why

- unstamped

36.
unstamped acknowledgement was not obtained in time.
from the contractor for supply of materials to him. (207)

By Order etc.,

SSMIL

Assess. to the Gov. of B'hoj, P.W.D.

15

Copy forwarded to the ~~SS~~ P.W.D. to Gov

for information.

for

21/1/59

37

NP.41/76219

P.W.D.T.Br.

p.38 Resubmitted with a fresh
draft for approval.

AS
4/9
13/9/54

4/9/54

Thos Q.Br.

14-9-54

~~AS (u)~~
AS (u)

14/9/54

B.C. (B. Bay No. 1)
15th Sept. 54

Subject: Inspection Report of the
Kannata P. H. Works Dr.,
Dharwar, for the year
1951-52 by the A.G. B. Bay

Memorandum.

The undersigned presents
compliments to the Ex. Engr., Kannata
P. H. Works Dr., and with reference
to para 17 (a) of the above-mentioned
S.R., is directed to request him
to submit a report to Govt. through
the P. H. E. explaining the issue
of 4 cwts. of cement even after
completion of the work and
recording of final measurements.
It should also be explained as
to why unstamped acknowledgement
was not obtained in
time from the contractor for
supply of material to him.

He...

He should also submit his report
on para. 22 (a) (i) & (ii) of the I.R.
without delay.

By order etc.


Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of B. D.A.N.1.
P.W.D.

Copy forwarded for information
to —

the A.G., B. Bay.

the P.W.D. to Govt.

for information.



4/9/24

12/19

13

14/12/24

uv

2

3

- 26(d) Reference is invited to this office No.A.3(NH)42 dt.24-7-53, to by the Exe.Engr. is being called for and further reply will be sent on receipt of his reply.
- 6(e) Please vide this office No. 33.5277 dt. 27-8-53 and further instructions are awaited. Survey report for 120 bags of clodded cement is sanctioned for Rs.550/- under this office No:A.3 3...3/13840 of 22-10-53

Further reply to para 22() (I) of the Inspection Report of the Karnataka Public Health Works Dn. Dharwar, for the year 51-52 received under Exe. Engr's No. A.58/7405 dt. 24-11-53

Item No. Exe. Engr's reply.

P.H.E.'s remarks.

22(a)(i) The work of paving privy pans, over-head tanks, rains pipes etc. were already included in the tender for the work of underground drainage to staff quarters and as such they were not included in the tender for constructing latrines. To get these work done through the agency executing the work of constructing latrines sanctioning of extra items would become necessary but sanction to extra items would not have been in order as these items were already included in the estimate, and as extra items they are not open to competitive rates. Besides, the contractor for drainage work, had kept the materials ready as they were included in his tender and to delete the items from his tender and to delete the items from his tender at that stage would have also involved complications and hence it was thought desirable to let the work done by the contractor of drainage work only by granting him necessary extension of time limit.

Vide Executive Engineer's reply.

Further replies to the Insn. Report of the K.P.H. W. Dn. Dharwar, for the year 51-52 received under the Exe. Engr's letter No. 708 of 2-2-54

Para No. Exe. Engr's reply to this office.

P.H.E.'s Remarks -

19(a) The case was referred to your office for final decision. The reply from your office has since been received to review and settle the matter at my discretion. It is being taken up now.

As per para 17 of the B-2 tender form, the Exe. Engr. has since been asked to settle the contractor's claim in his discretion.

28(a)

The case regarding shortage of material and recovery of cost from the parties concerned is still under consideration with the P.H.E. to Govt. and final orders in the matter are being issued shortly.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, BOMBAY.	To	The Secretary to the Govt. of Bombay, Public Works Deptt. BOMBAY.
---	----	---

No. P.W.V.IC.1464

Station.

Date.


18 AUG 1954

Sub:- Inspection Report of Karnatak P.H. Works
Dn. for the year 1951-52

Fold along this line.

In continuation with this office endorsement No. PW.V.K.1409 dt. 25-10-53, I have to offer the following remarks on the paras mentioned below:-

1. Para 7(iii)(a) :- No remarks.
2. Para 10(c) :- No remarks.
3. Para 18(a) :- Further report awaited from the Executive Engineer.
4. Para 19(b)(i) :- No remarks.
5. Para 22(a)(i) :- The action of the Executive Engineer in proposing transfer (which appears to be unnecessary) of the item of paving prevy pans etc. from the original tender of drainage work to that of "Constructing of latrines" had resulted in grant of extension of time which is brought to notice of Govt.
6. Para 25(a):- The higher rate of Rs.15/- per 100 Cft. of metal on quarry included in the schedule of rates of Karnatak P.H.W.Dn. as against the rate of Rs.10-3-0 per 100 Cft. of the same item of Dharwar Dn. is brought to notice of Govt. as there does not seem to be any reason why two divisions operating in the same locality should have two rates for the same item.
7. Para 26(d) :- The defective control on the custody of materials is brought to the notice of Govt. with a copy of Public Health Engineer's No.(A.4)-A.1-80/7449 dt. 27-5-54.
8. Para 26(e) :- The write off of 6 tons cement worth Rs.550/- deteriorated due to negligence is brought to notice.


Asstt. Accounts Officer.

1. s/9

Fold along this line.

O. I. G. S.

To

S. 185. (Large.)

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

1240 19
1240 19

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

12
2018
shuts

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

8-12

I
9/6

P. W. D.	
File No.	<i>NP-41</i>
Serial No.	<i>110392</i>

I

7037
No. 7037 of 1954.
Karnatak Public Health Works Division,
Bangalore, 24th September 1954.

To ✓

The Secretary to the
Government of Bombay, (P. D.),
Bombay.

Sub:- Inspection Report of Mr. H. F. W. S. D. N., Engineer,
for 1951-52 by the Accountant General, Bombay.

1 Ref:- G.M.P., P.D.D. No. H-40/70219-T of 15-9-1954.

The replies to the queries in question have already been
submitted to the Accountant General, Bombay long back through the
Public Health Engineer to Govt., Poona.

1. :- 111

D/2.9.

M. S. S. S.
Executive Engineer,
Karnatak Public Health Works Division.

Copy f.w.cs. to the Public Health Engineer to Govt., Poona-1,
for information.

P.S.C.

42

- 2 -

Para 17(a) and Para 22(a)(ii) have been disused of under F.I.E's No. (A-4) A-1- 12850 of 25-9-1 53, addressed to Accountant General, Bombay.

Para 20(a)(i) has been explained under F.I.E's No. (A-4) A-1-55/10070 of 10-10-1953, addressed to the Accountant General, Bombay.

P. W. D.
File No. NP 40.
Serial - 130264

T
28-9-54.

T Br

103 C 1

NP 40/130264
P.W.D

Submitted with ref. to G.M. No. NP 40/76219T dt 15-9-54

2. The necessary report^{x P.W.} has now been received from the Ex. Engr, Karnataka Public Health Works Dn. He has stated that the replies to the queries in question have already been submitted to the Accountant General, Bombay thro' the P.H.E. to Govt. The Ex. Engr, should have submitted his report to Govt thro' the P.H.E as per the G.M under reference. However, since he has already submitted his report to the A.G, Bombay, the comments of the A.G, Bombay may be awaited.

Pl. write to the A.G. suitably
P.W.D.

- 8-10-54

disbursed
11/10

re
6/10/54

~~Supdt.~~
AS(14)

614

NP40/130264

P.W.D

1 Apr.

Re-submitted with the necy. draft^{purbest}
for appr.

LB

2/10/54

H²(III).

no NP40/130264-T. ^{12/12}

P.W.D
B.C.C.B. (Banyan)
Oct. 1954.

To

The A.G. Bombay.

Sub:- Inspection Report of Karnatak P.H. Ws; Dn,
Dhanwar, for 1951-52. by the A.G.B. boy
Refce. Gout Endt. ^{P1940} no. NP40/26219-T, dt
the 15th Sept. 1954.

2 I am directed to inform you that, the
ex Engrs, Karnatak Public Health works Dn, has
^{P41} reported that his replies to the paras in question
have already been submitted to you through
the PHE to Gout. I am therefore to request
you to offer your comments thereon at
an early date.

D.A.

mil

Yas faith fully

Copy forwarded to —

Asst. Secy to Govt
120.

Copy forwarded to, ~~the~~

a. the P. H. E. to Govt.

the Ex Engr, Karnataka Public Health works on,
with refce to his letter no. 7037, dt ^{04/} the 24th Sept
1954, for information.

VB
12/10/54

MEMORANDUM.

No. P.W.V./K.2133.....

Dated the..... 29 JAN 1954.....

From The Accountant General, To The Secretary to the Govt. of Bombay P.W.D. Bombay.
Bombay.

Subject Inspection Report of Karnatak P.H. Works Divn for the year 1951-52.

....

The Inspection Report of Karnatak P.H. Works Divn. has been submitted to Govt. under this office endorsement No. P.W.V/K.1409 dated 25-10-53. It is requested that the Report may please be returned early with Government orders.

Asstt. Accountant General.

vma/29/1

O. I. G. S.

To, ...

.....

.....

.....

.....

6/27

112

2/2

P. W. D.

File No. **Nº 40**

Serial **13593**

357

NP-40/13593

I.Pr.

P.W.D.

Submitted with a necy. draft
for appl.

15
29/3/04

307?
29/3/04

Suppl.

NP-40/13593

No. NP-40/13593-I

P.W.D.

B.C. (B'kay No. 1)

1-A-54

To

The A.G. B'kay.


Sub. (As on p 1 ante).

101 ante.

Refr. Your letter No. PW.V/K.2/33, dt

The 29-1-1954.

2. The Inspection Report in question is still under the consideration of Govt. and will be forwarded to you with ^{the} Govt orders thereon, in due course.



29/2/54

~~101 ante~~

2/2/54

By P.W.D.
Seeth

Submitted with reference to the O.R. on p. 7. ante.

2. The A.G. has now forwarded his remarks on the remaining paras. The paras. on which the orders of Govt. are required to be issued are noted upon as under. The orders, as proposed, if approved, will be communicated to A.G. after u.o.r. to the F.I.

Para 22(a)(i)&(ii) :- The I.O. has stated extension ^{on} were granted a number of times in 1950-51, the usual plea of not getting the required labour and trucks and ~~usual~~ rain. He states that extension in the case of under ground drainage scheme for staff quarters at Dummad need to be justified. Please see in this connection the explanation of the Ex. Engr. on p. ante. Orders of Govt. may be

"Explanation of the Ex. Engr. is accepted"


Para 25(a) :- The I.O. has pointed out now due to short notice ~~many~~ ^{very few} tenders were recd. in the case of supply of rubble & metal alone, 27" raising main of the Neersagar Water Supply Scheme, and as ^a result of which the lowest tender which was accepted was 56% above ^{the} estimated cost. He has also pointed out disparity of rates paid for this work and those sanctioned in the schedule of rates for Marker Division. In this connection please see the explanation of the Ex. Engr. and the remarks of the A.G. From 'a' of the Ex. Engr.'s explanation it appears that the rate charged was based on similar rates appd. by audit on another work. In view of that orders of Govt. may be

"The explanation of the Ex. Engr. is accepted"

3. With regard to para. 25(e), the Ex. Engr. may be addressed as per draft D.O. letter put up for approval in view of the D.S. (H) noting on p. ante.

Thro PBr. & L.E. (PH).

5.11.54



23
UNJ
1/11/54.

D8C(RC)

40
aff. issue
To 4A

2/4"

U.O.R. & P. 4.1) d. the 12.11.54.

Fin. Dept VI

This may be seen and returned to
the PWD. Perhaps, the order in para 25(a)
should be amplified as follows —

As the rate charged was based on the similar
rates (approved by Audit) in other works in the region,
the explanation of the E.E. is acceptable."

(25-11-54)

24.11.54

25.11.54

through XX

W.R. to the PWD dated 25-11-54

25 (M)

P. W. D.
File No. NP.40.
Serial No. 158401

T
25/11

T
26 11 54
-71

D.O.No. 1240/110392-7.
NP40

Public Works Department,
Secretariat,
Bombay No.1, November 1954.

Dear

Please

6/11/54

Kindly refer to paragraph 25(e) of the Inspection Report of your office for the year 1951-52 (copy enclosed), wherein the Inspecting Officer has pointed out an instance where a work which was to have been completed within ^{1 1/2} months ^{amounted to} was ^{dragged} on for about 11 months by giving extension without applying the penalty clause.

In your explanation you have stated ^{that} ~~that~~ one overseer on temporary establishment was supervising the work. Had the work been completed in time, the ^{period} ~~time~~ which the overseer devoted for supervising the work for ^{additional} ~~9 1/2~~ extra months could have been saved and ^{thus} ~~utilized~~ elsewhere. This, you will agree, was ~~in a way~~ a loss to Govt. and the contractor should have, therefore, been penalized ^{at least} to that extent under the penalty clause instead of being granted extensions. In order to avoid such losses to Govt. in ^{future} ~~future~~, I am ^{directed to bring} ~~bringing~~ this case to your personal notice with a request to see that such ^{lapses} ~~instances~~ do not occur again.

Yours

*DA.
Para refd. to 1*

6/11

(J.P. Naegamvala)

(P.P. Joshi)

Shri P.V. Ganpule, B.E., A.M.I.E. (Struct) E.,
E.E., Karnatak P.H.W.s. Div.,
Dharwar.

Copy forwarded to the P.I.E. to Govt. for information.

2
UNJ
1/11/54.

*15
11/11/54*

[Handwritten signature]

Public Works Department
Secretariat.

Bombay No.1, 10th November 1954

Dear

Please refer to paragraph 25(e) of the Inspection Report of your office for the year 1951-52(copy enclosed), wherein the Inspecting Officer has pointed out an instance where a work which was to have been completed within 1½ months was allowed to drag on for about 11 months by giving extensions without applying the penalty clause.

In your explanation you have stated that one overseer on temporary establishment was supervising the work. Had the work been completed in time, the period which the overseer devoted for supervising the work for additional 9 months could have been saved and ^{thus} ~~the~~ utilised elsewhere. This, you will agree, was a loss to Government and the contractor should have, therefore, been penalized at least to that extent under the penalty clause instead of being granted extensions. In order...


order to avoid such losses to Government in future, I am directed to bring this case to your personal notice with a request to see that such lapses do not occur again.

Yours

✓ 1249 27-38/73
(J.P.Naagamvala)

Shri P.V.Ganpule, B.E., A.M.I.E. (Struct) E.,
Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public Health Works
Division, Dharwar.

Copy forwarded to the Public Health Engineer
to Government for information.



Page
refd 47.

VPA.
10.11.54.

11/11

From

The Accountant General,
Bombay.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Bombay, Public Works Department,
BOMBAY.

No.

PWV/K-2185

Station.

19 NOV 1954

Date.

Sub: Inspection Report of Karnatak Public Health Works Division
for the year 1951-52.


Ref: Xa This office letter No. PWV-K-1464 dated 18-8-54.

Sir,

I am to invite a reference to above mentioned letter and enquire
when Government orders may be expected on paras mentioned therein.

Yours faithfully,

DDM/12-11-


ACCTT. ACCOUNTS OFFICER.

Fold along this line.

FROM

To

The Accountant General,
Bombay.

The Secretary to the Government
of Bombay, Public Works Department,
BOMBAY.

No. PWV/K-2185

Station. 19 NOV 1954

Date.

Sub: Inspection Report of Karnatak Public Health Works Division
for the year 1951-52.

Ref: X This office letter No. PWV-K-1464 dated 18-8-54.

Sir,

I am to invite a reference to above mentioned letter and enquire
when Government orders may be expected on paras mentioned therein.

Yours faithfully,

DDM/12-11-

R. P. Rao 19/11/54
ASSTT. ACCOUNTS OFFICER.

Fold along this line.

O. I. G. S.

To

S. 185. (Large.)

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

11/2 21/11

P. W. D.	
File No.	718-11
Serial No.	155912
	~~155897~~

27/11

1.2

67

D.O.No. SAC/Insp/51-52(3B)/8555
Office of the Executive Engineer,
Karnatak Public Health Works Dn,
Dharwar, Dated: 22nd November 1954.

Dear Shri. Naegamvala

P 65

I have received your D.O. No. NP-40/110392-T dated the 10th November 1954 and noted the contents.

I would like to state that due to some lingering of the work no additional expenditure of workcharged establishment was allowed to be incurred and one - Temporary overseer, who was supervising other works, was instructed to supervise this work in addition.

However care would be taken to avoid such instances.

Yours Sincerely

P.V. Ganpule

(P.V.Ganpule)

To

Shri. J.P. Naegamvala,


B.E., A.M.I.E., A.M. Am. Soc. C.E.

Deputy Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department,

B O M B A Y.

25/11



Q2C

T 13 Shu 1/27/11

25/11/54

3 71

NP. 41/15-5-912

P.W.D.T. Br.

The Inspection Report in question
(No. NP. 40/110392.) has been referred
u.o. to the F.D. on 15-11-54.
His return may be awaited and
an interim reply may be given
to the A.C. as per draft put up
for approval.

26/11/54

27-11-54

A.S. (iii)

No. NP. 41/155912-T S-23 P.W.D.,
B.C. B'bay No. 17,
29th Nov. 54

To

The A. G., B'bay.

Subject: Inspection Report of
Karnataka P.W.D. for
the year 1951-52

Reference: Your letter No. P.W.D./K-
2185, dt. 19-11-54.

2. I am directed to state
that the above-mentioned Inspection
Report is receiving attention and
Govt. orders thereon will be
communicated.

to 21
Communicated to you in due course

By order etc.

✓
Asst H. Secy. to the Govt. D.A. Nil.
P.W.D.

90
27/11/54

90
11/12

90
29/11

90
2/12

90
29/12

90
1/12

Recd bank
on 29-11-54

D'Sa - NP40/158401. 1/12

T.Ba.

Submitted with reference to
this Deptt. P 61
u.o. dt. 17-11-54

2 The F.D. having ^{P 22} seen the
A.C. may be informed as per draft
put up for approval

3. Perusal is requested to the En. Engrs. D.
at p. 67 ante. As Govt. orders on para. 21(c) have been com-
municated to the A.C. no further action is called for
on the D.O.

6/12/54

Thos. Q. Ba.

P 25

4. Para 26(d) :- Pl. see the remarks of the D.O.

in para 26(d) of the D.O. He has pointed out that at the
time of the annual verification of the material-at-site
accounts, certain shortages were noticed in the quantities of
the materials, costing Rs. 658/16/6 ann. In this connection
please the P.H.E. report, wherein he has stated that actl
has been taken to recover Rs. 362-10-0 ^{from} ~~for~~ the
dy. Engrs. concerned. Govt. orders on this para may
therefore, be: "In view of the report of the P.H.E., no
further orders of Govt. are neeq. The P.H.E. should, however,
instruct the ^{and dy. Engrs.} Engrs. to exercise proper control over the
materials."

A.S. (III)

5. Para 26(e) :- Please see the D.O.'s remarks in
para 26(e) of the D.O., and the ^{P.H.E.} ~~Engrs.~~ further report ante
para, at p. 49 ante. The ^{P.H.E.} ~~Engrs.~~ has stated that he has
already issued orders sanctioning the write-off of the
6 tons of ^{clotted} cement costing Rs. 550/-. In view of this action,
no further orders of Govt. are neeq. Orders may be: "In view
of the orders issued by the ^{P.H.E.} ~~Engrs.~~, no further orders of Govt. are
neeq."

24

neeq

* pl. to note on paras.
26(d) & (e) of the D.O.
This should have been
done before the papers
were up'd. to P.D.

8/12/54

necessary on the part,"

6. The orders on paras ~~4~~ and ~~5~~ alone may be issued in no consultation with the 2^d. Papers may be refd. no. to the 2^d, after issue of draft 177

Thurs DE. (PH)

Wes
8/12/54

MA
8-11-54

POD
8-12-54

mu
9/12

~~AS (CUI)~~

U.O.R. to the F.D., d. the 14-12-54

45.00
13/12

MS 236

FINANCE DEPARTMENT	
File No.	VJ
Date	15-12-54
Serial No.	183106

Finance Dep 4-VJ

P. W. D.	
File No.	NPH
Serial No.	170077

Submitted we to the 1st Bd. note above dated 8-12-54.

2. So far as para. 26(c) is concerned, the P.H.'s remarks on p. 42 note show that he has submitted survey report for 120 bags of clotted cement for P-537-. The E.E. has mentioned 160 bags, as can be seen from col 3 on p. 26 note. These two figures require careful citation. The P.H. may also state whether survey report means 1? write off.

22/12/54 SH. U.O.R. to the 18.12.54 P.W.D. dtd 20/12/54 Gub (M)

10th Dec. 54

To

The A.G., B. W. D.

Subject: Inspection Report of
Karnataka P. W. D. for
the year 1951-52

Reference: Your letter No. P.W.D.
p. 17

IC. 1464, dt. 18-8-54.

2. I am directed to state that
the orders of Govt. on the outstanding
paras. of the above-mentioned Ins.
pection Report are as under:-

Paras. 22(a)(i) & (ii) The explanation of
the Ex. Engr. is accepted.

Para. 25 (f) As the rates charged
was based on similar rates (approved
by Audit) on other works in the region,
the explanation of the Ex. Engr. is

accepted.

Para 26 (d) :- In view of the report of the P.W.D., no further orders
of Govt. are necessary. The P.W.D. should, however, instruct the
Ex. Engrs. & the Dy. Engrs. to exercise proper control over the material
Para 26 (e) :- The matter is under the consideration of
Govt.

13/12/54

10/12

D.A.N.I.

By order etc.

Asst. Secy to the G. of B., P.W.D.

P.W.D.

T. Br.Submitted with refce. to the ^{pp 75-76} u.o.r., d the

14-12-1954.

x paras 26(d) & (e) of the
9 R.Q.B.
22/12/54

Thro' Q. Br.

40th 5/11/54

D.S (I & E)

Q.B.

p 76

2. Pl. see the note from 20. The 20 has agreed to the orders proposed in para 26(d), and has called for further information on para 26(e). The orders in para 26(d) may, therefore be communicated to the AG.

In respect of para 26(e), the AG. maybe informed that the matter is under consideration. New ^{orders} have been ^{put up} in the draft of p 81 rule, which maybe issued now.

hes
24/12/54
27Q.B.
27-12-54~~AS (11)~~~~AS (22)~~

27/12/54

P. W. D. Bombay No.
28th Dec - 54

To

The AG., Bombay.

Subj: L.R. of K.P.H. W. Sec. for
the year 1951-52.

Li, Reference: your letter no. PWV/K- 2185, dt 19-11-54
p 69
2. I am directed to communicate the following orders of
Govt. in paras 26(d) and 26(e) of the L.R. mentioned above.

Para 26(d): In view of the report of the P.H.E., no
further orders of Govt. are necessary. The P.H.E. should, however,
instruct the Engrs. and the Dy. Engrs. to exercise proper
control over the materials.

Para 26(e),

82 ✓

Para 2.6 (c) ∴ The matter is under the consideration
of Govt.

~~28/12/54~~ By Order of
28/12/54

28/12/54 (28/12/54)
To T B

(Pl. resolved through the Govt.)
28/12/54

28/12/54
28/12/54
28/12/54

28/12/54

- 1 -

Below letter No.A.58/6483 dt.4-9-54 from the Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public Health works Division, Dharwar to the A.G.Bombay through this office, regarding Inspection Report ~~xx~~ of Karnatak Public Health works Division, Dharwar for the year 1951-52 para 18-A.

Copy of endt.No.(A-3)-1-80/14011 of 1954 dt.23-10-54 from the Public Health Engineer to Govt.,

Passed on with compliments to the A.G.Bombay.

@@@@@@@@@@@@

Copy of letter No.A.58/6483 dt. 4-9-54 from the Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public Health orks Division to the A.G.Bombay.

Sub: Inspection Report of Karnatak Public Healthworks Division Dharwar for the year 1951-52 Para 18-A.

Ref: Your No.PW.Vi-C/1465 dt.18-8-54.

through the Public Health Engineer to Govt., Poona

In response to the Public Health Engineer to Govt., Poona's No. (A-3)-A-1-30/5384 of 15-4-54, the contractor is paid by reducing the rate of masonry by Rs.16/- per 100 Cft., for one tank and the contractors accounts have been finalised.

@@@@@@@@@@@@

Copy of letter No.BAC.51-52 (3-B) 8582 dt. 23-11-54 from the Executive Engineer, K.P.H.W.Division, Dharwar to the A.G.Bombay.

Sub: Inspection Report of K.P.H.W.~~Div~~ Division for 1951-52.

Ref: Your endt.No.PW.V/KP/2111 A dt.12-11-54.

Recovery on account of cost of materials etc. issued to the first contractor has since been recovered from his final bill adjusted vide TE.BI No.14 for July 1953.

The Sub Divisional Officer, from whom explanations for non measuring the work done at the time of rescinding the contract is not now working in this Division and as such it cannot be now furnished. However, I may state that the other officer has recorded the measurements of work prior to giving it to other agency.

@@@@@@@@@@@@

TRUE COPY

C.D.Cunha
for Superintendent Record Deptt
Accountant General's Office,
BOMBAY.

83

From

The Accountant General

Bombay

To

The Secretary to Govt of Bombay,

Public Works Deptt., Bombay

No.

PW V/K/2559

Station.

Date.

22 DEC 1954

Sub: Inspection Report of Karnatak Public Health works
Division for the year 1951-52.

477 NP.41
170077

6.47

In continuation of this office letter No. PW V/1464 I have to communicate this office remark on para 18(a) of the Inspection Report as following:
Para 18(a): Non recording the measurements, of the work done at the time of rescinding the contract by the Sub Divisional Officer, is brought to the notice of Government.

The copies of the Executive Engineer, Public Health works Division letter No. SAC, Insp. 51-52 3(B)/8582 dt. 23-11-54 and Public Health Engineer's No. (A. 3) A.1-80/14011 dt. 23-10-54 are enclosed for ready reference.

SKG/ 22/12

Asstt. Accounts Officer

Ram Mah
22-12-54

Fold along this line.

Fold along this line.

NP 41/771339

O. I. G. S.

To

S. 185. (Large.)

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

T. Br.

P. W. D.

NP 41 170077

FOLD HERE

Main papers on the subject are
under submission to A.S.(III) & D.S.(I & E)
thru' Q. Br., since 23-12-54. Their return
may be awaited.

Thru' Q. Br.

OK
28/12/54

T
23/12

The case pps. have been passed

FOLD HERE

on to A.S. (III) on 24-12-54

NPB
20/12/54

USDL
20-12-54

T Br. to speak

23-12-54

AS(III)

x spoken pl.

OK
31/1/54

du. L:

3/1

FILE NO. NP 41

FP
24/12

EX-112

121339

Shie

23/12

T.Br.

P.W.D.

* copy at p. 21

In continuation of his letter at p. 47 ante the A. G. Bhai, has communicated the following remarks on para. 18(a) of the I.R. of Karnatak P.H.W. Sn., for the year 1951-52. In con. with the work of constructing 2 Crude Oil Storage tanks at Dhumwad, measurements were not recorded of the work done by the contractor at the time of rescinding the contract by the S.D.O.. In this con. the Ex. Engr. states that since the S.D.O. concerned is not now working in the service his explanation for non-measuring the work done at the time of rescinding the contract cannot be supplied. However, the other officer has recorded the measurements of the work done prior to giving it to other agency.

2. In the circumstances explained by the Ex. Engr. the irregularity occurred may be condoned ^{may be asked to} however, the Ex. Engr. should instruct all the S.D.Os. under him to avoid such irregularities in future. If approved, neeq. orders may be communicated to the A.G. Bhai, after u.o. to the F.D.

Thro' D.E (PH)

8/11/55 8/11/55

D.S (IDE)

U.O.R. to the F.D., D. the 11-1-55

193/55
Pr VI/107
753
12-1-55
3665
to S. Engr. 15-1-55

Finance Department (VI)

The fprs. are referred to the ~~FO~~ with regard to para. 18(a) only of the Inspection Report. The following remarks are offered: # p. 21 on 12

It is not known what the PWD means by saying that the SDO concerned is not now working in the Dn. Apparently, the S.D.O. has been transferred from the Dn. in which this work was executed. If so, it is possible yet to get the explanation from the S.D.O. in regard to the irregularity committed by him. The PWD may be requested to do so in the first instance.

2411
NP 41
1172
Shri S. (R)
25/1
22
thru. XX
S.M.K.
US
H.C. (M)

16/10/17

o.r. to the PWD d/- 21/1/17

189.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL BOMBAY

To

The Secretary to the
Govt. of Bombay P.W.D. Bombay

No. PWV/K/2792

Station.

18 JAN 1955

Date.

Sub:- Inspection report of Karnatak public Health Dn.
for the year 1951-52.

Sir,

With reference to this office letter No:PWV/K/1464
dated 18-8-54, I am to enquire when Govt. orders on paras
18(a) & 26(e) may be expected.

Yours faithfully,

Ram Nath
18-1-55

ASSTT. ACCOUNTS OFFICER

J.C.18/1

Fold along this line.

Fold along this line.

18/1

90 *27*

O. I. G. S.

To _____

S. 185. (Large.)

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

11P.411/171339
40 to F.D. on
8/1/55

* Recd
P.411/1727 8/1/55
25/1/55
1911

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

T. Pr.

P.W.D.

The I.R. refd. to overleaf is under u.o.r.
to the F.D., since 8-1-55. Its return
may be awaited. In the meanwhile
an interim reply may be given to the
A.G. Bhai, as per draft put up for
approval. *some and expedite F.D.*

P.K.
28/8/55

P.R.D.
- 25-1-55

P. W. D.
F. No. NP 41
9650
Serial No.

Sl. No.

AS(III)

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

NO. NP. 41/9850 T

3 91.

P.W.D.,

B.C (Bhay NO-1)

31st Jan. 1953.

To

The A.G., Bhay.

Subject: - G.R. of Kamatak P.H.W. On.,
for the year 1951-52.

1/1

Sir,

With refce. to your letter NO. PW V/K/2792,
d the 18-1-1953, on the subject-mentioned above,
I am directed to state that paras. 18(a) & 26(e)
of the above mentioned G.R. are still under
consideration of Govt. and neeq. orders thereon
in the near future.
will be issued as soon as possible.

ISSUED

Date 2/2/55

D.A - Nil

Yours faithfully

DS

1/1

28/1

T. Br.

Submitted with refce. to the ^{p. W. D.} p. 87, d

the 11-1-7953..

2. In view of the F.D note at p. 88, the
Ex. Engr., Karnatak P.H.W. Div., may be addressed
as per draft put up for approval..

Thro' D.E (PH)

295
5/2/53

hmt
7-2-55

2/12/2

D. S (IDE)

Shi 3/2
2/2

17th Feb. 1955.Memo.

Subject: - J.R. of Kamatak P.H.W. Sen., Dhanwar
for 1951-52
[Para. 18(a)]

The u.s.p. c. to the Ex. Engr., Kamatak P.H.

x copy at p. 83

W. Sen., and with refce. to his letter NO. SAC. 51-52
(3-B) 85-82, d the 23-11-1954, addressed to the

A. G., Bhay, on the subject mentioned above,
is directed to enquire whether the S.D.O.
concerned is transferred to some other Sen. of
this Deptt. or has left this Deptt. for ever.

In the former case, ^{pl.} obtain the S.D.O's explanation
and submit it to Govt., thro' the P.H.E to Govt.

By Order etc.,

D.A - Nil.

AS

Copy

Copy forwarded for information to the P.H.E.

to Govt.

OK
5/2/55

15568
15/2 ✓

155
Date... 18/2/55 ✓

1
19/2 ✓

95
1453
R.O.SAC/ of 1955.
Karnatak Public Health Works Division.
Dharwar: 24/4. February 1955.

To

The Chief Engineer & Joint Secretary
to Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
(Irrigation)
BOMBAY.

Subject :- Inspection Report of Karnatak--
Public Health Works Division--
Dharwar for 1951-1952 Para 18(a)

Reference:- Government Memorandum of Public
Works Department No.NP-41/11727 T
dated 17th February 1955.

Explanation of the Deputy Engineer, Shri G.K.
Adshetti has been called for and the same will be -
submitted to Government on its receipt through the -
Public Health Engineer to Government of Bombay, Poona.

D.A:- Nil

AM/23-2.

phf aupte
Executive Engineer,
Karnatak Public Health Works Division.

Copy forwarded with compliments to the
Public Health Engineer to Government of Bombay, Poona 1
for information.

96

Tor

WP 41 / 30342
PMD

Submitted p. 95 note
for information.

2. Further developments may
be awaited

3/8/55

Kms
5/3/58

Chen-ist (25-3-55)
7/3

A.S. (iv)

F. W. L.
File No. NP. 41
30342

T Br.

97

P.W.D.

The E.E. K.P.H.W. Bu - Expedited
under No. NP41/30342-T dt. the 7-4-55

4/4/55

4/4/55
Issued
u/c

Received 25.4.55
9/c

Supdt.

Sh. Desai
4/5

T

NP 41/30342
P.W.D.

7/5/55
7/4

Supdt.

7-5-55
6/5/55

6/5/55
Issued u/c
6/5/55

The E.E. K.P.H.W. Bu
Expedited under even No. dt. the

99

From

To

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL BOMBAY
(W.A.D. PWV SECTION)

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF BOMBAY
P.W.D. BOMBAY

No: PWV/K/239

Station.

04 MAY 55

Date.

Sub:- Inspection Report of K.P.H.W.Dn. for
the year 1951-52.

06 MAY 1955

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: NP/41/9650T
dated 31-1-55, I am to enquire when the orders of the
Govt. may be expected on paras 18(a) and 26(e).

Yours faithfully,

V.G. Manage

ASSTT. ACCOUNTS OFFICER

J.C. 4/5

Fold along this line.

Fold along this line.

1000

O. I. G. S.

To

S. 185. (Large.)

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

(iii)
Sh Ka 6/5 T
6/5

P. W.
File No. NP41
Serial No. 5528

T

Ter.

101

- NP41/60528
mud

Submitted 1/99 ante

2. As regards para 18 (a), the explanation
of the ~~200~~ Shin Adshetti is still awaited
vide p. 95 ante.

3. The AG may be informed accordingly
vide draft p. 103/104.

To state position
reg para 26/101

Ans: Q Br.

gms S
25/5

R 33a

As regards para 26(c) the P.H.R. to Govt. may
be addressed in the matter, as per F.D.'s query at 'A' at p. ante.
"New draft" is put up for approval. Also necessary additions made to
the draft at p. 103 post.

AG (in)

5/9
10/6/10

A.S. (IV)

A.S. (IV)

V/m 13/6/55

16/6/55

NO. NP 41/65528-T

107

(P.W.)

Re. (P.W.-1)

16th June 1952

To Mr. A. G. Bhat.

Sub: I. Report of K. P. H. W. Dr.

For the year 1951-52

— paras 18 a & 26 (c)

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your end of P.W. 1951-52 on K. P. H. W. Dr. 6.5.52, on the subject noted above and to state as under:

para 18 (a) : The ~~explanation~~ explanation given ~~to~~ concerned has been called for which is still awaited from him.

para 26 (c) : The matter is still under the consideration of Govt.

Yours,


... JH. ✓
A.S. to Gen.

Copy f. to Mr. E. Enver, K.P.H.W.
Jm. w.r. to his no-849/1453 of 95 ante

24.2.55.

2. He should submit
the necessary explanation
immediately.

✓
24/2/55



105
NO NP 41/60528-R

P.W.D.

Secretariat

Foresore Rd

Bombay NO 1

Memo

16th June 1955

Sub: — J. R. of the K. P. H. W. Engr. for
the year 1951-52.

— para 26(c).

+ please see
A at p 42 and + w. r. to the letter (of the Ex. Engr. K. P. H.)
+ NO. SAC/6813

⊕ - To be typed W. En ~~for the~~ the 8th Oct. 1953 (copy end)
please type
or 'A' at is directed to request him to state as to
p. 1. 2. etc.

how he has sanctioned survey report for 120
bags of clotted cement for Rs. 550/- although
the Ex. Engr. has mentioned 160 bags in his
explained.

(copy enclosed)

106

explanation. He is also requested to send a very early reply in the matter.

⊕ - ...
A. Cole ins 1240 150...
+ - 106 - 14...
... only ...
+ ...

By order etc.

A.S. to the G.O.S.

P.W.D.

⊕ 142...
GA: Copy of letter
P. 2...
+ copy of para 26(c)

Copy to the En. Eng. R.P.H. Wks. Bn.

for information.

158
10/6/55

Issue 103-106
18/6/55
18/6/55

T. B. 1
21/6

AM 1-8-55
22/6/55

ISSUED
Date... 20/6 with 2A
SW

No. SAC/Inspection/51-52/3B/ 4007 of 54
Karnatak Public Health Works Division,
Dharwar; Dated 25th MAY 1955

To

✓ The Chief Engineer & Joint Secretary
to Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
(Irrigation) Bombay.

Subject :- Inspection Report of Karnatak Public
Health Works Division, Dharwar for -
the year 1951-1952 - Para 18(a).....

Reference: Reminder No. NP-41 30342 T of 7-5-1955
from Superintendent, Public Works -
Department Secretariat, Bombay.

Explanation is still awaited from ^{the} then Deputy Engineer
and the same will be submitted on its receipt.

D. :- Nil.

MAM/23-5.

xx

[Signature]
Executive Engineer,
Karnatak Public Health Works Division.

Copy forwarded with compliments to the Public Health
Engineer to Government of Bombay for information, in continuat-
ion of this office No. 3712 of 13-5-1955.

-oOo-

T.R.

108
NP41/70450
P.W.D.

Await further.

report from the E.E.K.P.H. w. bu.

~~23/6/55~~

~~PB~~
~~23/6/55~~

~~Am't 1-8-55~~
~~23/6/55~~

Sept

~~Am't 1-10-55~~
~~31/5/55~~

~~30/5~~

~~Dr. Desai~~
~~25/8/55~~

T.R.

NP41/70450
P.W.D.

~~Dr. Desai~~
~~6-2-55~~

NP.41

70450

The E.E.K.P.H. w. bu.

expedited under No. NP41/70450-T
dt. the 30-8-55

~~23/8/55~~

~~PB~~
~~25/8/55~~

Sept

Dr. Desai
Date 30/8/55

1 br.

109

NP 41 / 7045
p.w.d.

Resubmitted

2

The draft ^{1st post} express letter

expediting the E.E K.P.H.W. be may
issue, if approved.

7/10/55

7/20/55

Supdt.

111
EXPRESS LETTER.

FROM

BOM. WORKS, BOMBAY;

TO

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, *K.P.H.W. Bn.*
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

No.

NP 41 / 70450 - T

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Sachin
Bombay Castle (Bombay No. 1).

8-10 1955.

Subject.—

J. R. of K.P.H.W. Bn. for 51-52
para 18 (a) - -

Please submit very urgently the information called for in Government

Endorsement

Letter

No.

of 107 is
with your letter No. SAC/2434/51-52/2 B/4007
dt. the 15-5-55 on the above subject.

Superintendent.

Public Works Department, Secretariat.

Copy forwarded to ~~the Superintending Engineer~~
~~the Executive Engineer~~ for information.

P.H.E. Smt.

111
Express Letter.

From : Bomworks, Bombay.

To : The Executive Engineer,
Karnatak Public Health Works Division.


No.NP 41/70450 T.

Public Works Department,
Sachivalaya,
Bombay No.1, 8th October 1955.

Subject :- Inspection Report of Karnatak Public Health
Works Division for 1951-52.
Paragraph 18(a).

.....

Please submit very urgently further report with
reference to your letter No.SAC/Inspn/51-52/2B/4007,
dated the 25th May 1955, on the above subject.



[Signature]
Superintendent,
Public Works Department Sachivalaya.

[Signature]
36

Copy forwarded to the Public Health Engineer to
Government for information.

[Signature]
PSM.8/10/55.

Express.

No.sac/Insp/51-52/ 81/2 - of 1955.
Karnatak Public Health Works Division.
Dharwar: 15th October 1955.

To

The Chief Engineer & Secretary
to Government,
Public Works Department,
Sachivalaya,
Bombay 1


Subject :- Inspection Report of Karnatak
Public Health Works Division,-
Dharwar for the year 1951-1952
Paragraph 18(a).

Reference:- Government express letter No.
41/70450 T of 8th October 1955.

With reference to the above subject, I have
to state that the matter is still under correspondence
with the Sub-Divisional Officer, and will be disposed
off as early as possible.

D.A:- Nil.

MAM/12-10.

xx 
Executive Engineer,
Karnatak Public Health Works Division
Dharwar. 

Off. Approved by the Dy. Engr

Copy forwarded with compliments to the
Public Health Engineer to Government of Bombay,
Poona 1 for information.

P. D. 18/10/11

P. W. D.	
File No.	NP. 41
Serial No.	132871

*J
18/10*

T. 18/10/11

T Br.

115

NP 41 / 132871
p.w.D

Submitted w.r.t. S. Exp.
letter No. NP 41 / 79450 - T dt. The 8-10-55

In view of the E.E's reply
further report in the matter may be
awaited from him.

Thro S Br.

25/10/55

3 Q Br

No reply has been received from the
P. H.E to Govt. w. ref to G.M. ^{p 105 ante} no NP 41 / 60528 Q
dt 16.6.55. If approved. The P.H.E to Govt
may be addressed on per draft put up for file
117 part
25/10/55

A.S (I)

AS II

F Br

no no KP41/132891

T¹¹⁷

1450

Sachinlal
Bhay! ~~cut~~
1-11-55

dear

p 105 ante

, kindly refer to G.M., PWD no NP 41/
60528 Q of 16.6.55 regarding para 26(e) of
the Inspection report of the K. P. H. Ws on
for the year Bi. 1951-52. As the reference
is pending at your end for a considerable
time, I shall be grateful if you will
kindly look into the matter and arrange
to forward your report, at a very early date.

Yours

(K.L. Menkar)

20,

Sd/- S. E. Wadia

B. C., A.M.I.E (Hy) MRS. S. I. (Lond)

P. H. E. to Gal, Poona

27-10-55

11.4.56

11.4.56

Wadia (S. E.)
11/11

119

D.O.No.NP.41/132871 T.

Public Works Department,
Sachivalaya,
Bombay No.1, 1st November '55

Dear

Kindly refer to Government Memorandum, Public Works Department No.NP.41/60528 Q, dated 16th June 1955, regarding para 26(e) of the Inspection report of the Karnatak Public Health Works Division for the year 1951-52. As the reference is pending at your end for a considerable time, I shall be grateful if you will kindly look into the matter and arrange to forward your report, at a very early date.

Yours

K. L. Mehta
(K. L. Mehta)

Shri S.E.Wadia,

B.E., A.M.I.E.(Ind) M.R.San.I(Lond).,
Public Health Engineer to Government,
POONA.



✓

Ans. Recd 20/11

2-3

From

The Office of the
Accountant General,
Bombay.
PWV Section.

To

The Secretary to the Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

No.PWV/K/1677

Station.

Date. 17-10-55.

17 OCT 1955

Sub:- Inspection Report of K.P.W. Division
Dharwar for the year 1951-52.

Sir,

Kindly refer to G.L. P.W.D. No.
NP 41/60/28 T dated 16-6-55 and state as to when the
orders of Government on paras 18(9) and 26(e) of the
Inspection Report for 51-52 may be expected.

Yours faithfully,

KRB.

Ramiah
17-10-55
Asstt: Accounts Officer.

KRM
The reply to this letter should
be addressed to the Accountant General,
(W. A. D.) Queen's Road, Bombay.

O. I. G. S.

To

S. 185. (Large.)

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

FOLD HERE

P. W. D.
File No NP. 41
Serial No 133 269

T
19/10

T
19-600✓

D.O.No.A.4/A.1.55/16534 of 1955,
Office of the Public Health Engineer,
to Government,
Poona 1: dated: 4 November, 1955.

Subject:- Accountant General, Bombay's Inspection
Report of Karnatak Public Health Works
Division, Dharwar, for the year 1951-52
Para - 26 (e).

Dear Shri. Menta,

Reference: Your D.O.No.NP.41/132871-T dated 1.11.55.

2/- The Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public Health Works Divn., Dharwar has been requested under this office No.A.4/A.1.80/KPH/10321 dated 12.7.1955 to submit a detailed report in the matter and to state how he has sent a survey report of 120 bags for sanction when in the inspection report, it was clearly stated by the Executive Engineer, that about 160 bags of cement are clodded.

3/- The Executive Engineer's reply is awaited and he is already expedited in the matter on 10.8.1955 and 12.10.1955. On receipt of the Executive Engineer's reply, necessary report will be submitted.

Yours Sincerely -
[Signature]

(S.E.Wadia).

To

Shri.K.L.Menta, M.Com.,
Assistant Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
B o m b a y - 1

-o-

D.A. Nil.
SSA/3.11.

5/11
3/11

Copy forwarded with compliments to the Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public Health Works Division, Dharwar, with reference to this office No.A.4/A.1.80/KPH/10321 dated 12.7.1955 and subsequent reminders on 10.8.1955 and 12.10.1955.

2. In spite of so many reminders no information is received from the Executive Engineer. He is, therefore, requested to submit his reply by return of post, as Government is pressing for the same.

PL Meridian
S. 1/4 Sec 10
T. 11 N. R. 10 E. (P)
2000

T. 11 N.
S. 1/4 Sec 10
NPAI
140214

5 11 5

T Br.

125

NP 41 / 133259
140214

p.w.D.

Submitted

2 In view of the position explained by the P.H.E. in his bo. letter at p.12 ante, his further report ^{para 26(e)} in ~~the~~ ^{other} matter may be awaited. Report on para 18(a) is awaited from the Ex. Engr vide p.1 ante.

3 In the circumstances an Interim reply may be given to the A.G. Bhay w.r.t. his letter at p.121 ante, as per draft ¹²⁷ put up for approval

Thru ^Q Br

Mangin.

19/11/55

Ex Engr. Shri. B. S. Chandra

1 sten. dng 1 (a)

18/11/55
① for info. 44 p. 26(e)

Shri. Chandra

A.S.(V)

on 9/11/55
encl. 113
24/8/55

NP 41/132871-T
A. W. D.

T Bn.

The Ex. Engr. Karnatak P.H.W.Dn.,
DWR, has been expedited under

No NP 41/132871-T, dt., Nov. 1955.

25/11/55

25/11/55

25/11/55

25/11/55
Date
Supdt.

No. NP 41/140214 - T. 125

p.w.D.
Sachivalaya
B. Bay No. 1 Nov. 55
22nd


To

The A.G. B. Bay,

Sub: J.R. of K.P.H. w. Du. Bhadva
for the year 51-52

Sir,

With ref. to your letter No. p.w.D./K/167.
dt. the 17-10-55 I am directed to state
that the matter is still under ^{the} consideration
of Govt.



to A. Nil. 51/52

Y.f.
Asst. Secy. to the Govt.
p.w.D.

Shri. B.L. Jain, I.A.S. & A.S.
Deputy Accountant General (W). *12/11*

Office of the Accountant General.
P.W.D. PWV. SECTION.
Bombay. Nov. 55.

No.PWV KPH. 2047.

Dear Shri. Naegamvala, *22 NOV 1955* *91/11*

Kindly refer to Government letter
Public Works Department No. NP. 41/ 8850-T dated
31/1/55 in connection with paragraphs 18(a) and
26(c) of the Inspection Report of the Karnatak
Public Health Works Division for 1951-52.

2. As nearly ten months have elapsed since
the issue of above Government letter and as no order
orders of Government have been issued thereon,
I would request that Government orders may be
expedited.

Yours sincerely,

B. L. Jain

(B. L. Jain.).

To,

Shri. J. P. Naegamvala,
B.E.A.M.I.E.A.M. Sm. Sci. C.E
Deputy Secretary to Government.
Public Works Department.
Bombay.

23/11

svd. 21/11/-

T.B.

(3) 131

NP 41/140214
p.w.D.

Submitted

x NP 41
140214
* F. (V)

2 The main papers are under
submission since 17/11/55 through of Br.
Return of those papers may be awaited

16/11/55

Thro' Q.Bs

of Br

The case was forced submitted to ASC (V)
on 19-11-55.

20/11/55

The case is not with me.
It has been forced on (long)
back. 1/12/55

2.12.55

from 1 box
T.B. are pur up. Now
may be sent
to that branch
2/12/55
To T.B.
2.12.55

T h.

135

P41/150974
p.wj.

Submitted

• (x) pl sec p/s 125-76
ante.

2

The reports on para
26 (c) & 18 (a) are awaited from
the P.H.E. to Govt. & the Ex. Engr,
K.P.H.W.D., respectively. If approved
an ~~interim~~ interior reply may be
given to the A.G. B'bay as per draft
put up for approval & a copy of which
may be enclosed to the P.H.E. & the
Ex. Engr. by way of a reminder.

x for info w.r.d
para 26 (c)

* 13.12.55

A.S. (V) ~~in charge~~

10/12/55

Thos. D. Br.
14/12/55



D. 1033 No. PWV-KPH-2191

Office of the Accountant General,

(P.W.V- Sec),
Bombay, the

195 .

From
Shri B.L.Jain, I.A.&.A.S.
Dy. Account nt General, (1),
BOMBAY.

- 2 DEC 1955

Dear Shri Naegaumwala,

Kindly refer to my D.O. No. P.W.V/KPH-2047 dated
22-11-55 regarding Inspection Report of Karnatak
Public Health Works Division for the year 1951-52.
I shall be grateful if you could kindly let me
know as to what action has been taken in the
matter.

Yours sincerely,

B. L. Jain
(B.L.JAIN)

To,
Shri J.P. Naegamwala,
B.E.A.M.I.E. A.M. Am.Soc.
C.E.
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
BOMBAY.

DDM .1-12-

2/12
V. P. S. (V)
3/12

proceed (P)
for information
PL request
3-12-81

T912

P. W. D.	
File No.	P. 41
Serial No.	150974

T B.

3 1

F-1

No. NP41/140214-T 137

P.W.D.
Sachivalaya
Bibury No. 16 Dec. 55

To

The A.G. Bibury.

Sub: J.R. of K.P.H. w. on ^{for} 51-52

Sir,

With ref. to the correspondence
including with your letter No. B:133
dt. the 2-12-1955 addressed to Shri
Nagannur, Dy. Secy. to the G.O. (P.W.D.),
on the above subject - I am directed
to state that the matter is still under
the consideration of Govt.

B.A. Nil.

copy - P.T.O.

Y. F.
Asst. Secy. to the
Govt.


Copy, forwarded to

The P.H.E. to Govt. with
ref. to the correspondence relating with
his Dotation No. A.4./A.1.55/16534, dt. the
4-11-55

The Ex. Engrs. K.P.H.w. on with
ref. to Govt. Exdtr. No. NO 41/132871-T
dt. the 29-11-55
for information

2 The P.H.E. to Govt, & the
Ex. Engrs. are requested to expedite
submission of the reports on paras 26(e)
& 18(e) respectively.

S. Ravi (SK)
16/11



15/11/55

Am. time 20/11/55

123

No. (A-4) A-1-55/19605 of 1955,

Poona 1, 31 December 1955.

To

The Chief Engineer
and Joint Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation), Bombay-1.

Sub:- Inspection report of the Karnatak Public Health
Works Division, Dharwar, for 1951-52 -
Paras. 18(a) and 26(e) -1.

Reference: Government letter, Public Works Department No. NP-41/
140214-T, dated the 16-12-1955. — f

2. The Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public Health Works Divn., Dharwar
has been already expedited to furnish further reports in respect of paras.
18(a) and 26(e). Further report will be submitted on receipt of replies
from the Executive Engineer.

D. A. Nil.
Dwk/27-12-55.

xx

12382
Public Health Engineer
to Government.

in Service

T. B. G.

P. W. D.	
File No.	NPH1
Serial No.	220

T
S-1-7
17

T/for.

141

NP41/220

p.w.D

Submitted for information
p.h.c.'s further report in

2

the matter may be awaited.

16/1/56

16/1/56

ISSUED
Date 21/2/56

T/for.

p.w.D

16/5/56 for D. Ward
Suppl. in

The p.h.c. to Govt.
expedited under No. NP41/220-T, dt-
the 21-2-56

21-2-56

16/5/56

143
No. (A.4)/A.1.55/4058 of 1956.

Poona 1, Dated: 15th March 1956.

To

The Chief Engineer and
Joint Secretary to Government,
Public Works Deptt. (Irrigation),
B o m b a y - 1.

Subject:- Inspection Report of Karnatak Public
Health Works Division, Dharwar, for
1951-52.. Paras 18(a) and 26(e).

Reference: Government Memo. Public Works Department's
No. NP.41/220-T dated 21.2.56.

2. The further report in respect of paras 18(a)
and 26(e) is yet awaited from the Executive Engineer,
Karnatak Public Health Works Division, Dharwar, who is
being again expedited in the matter.

D.A. NIL.
GB:15.3.56/-


xx
for Public Health Engineer
to Government.

T

1713

P. W. D.

1. FID. No. NP. 41.

3.1133

T

17-326

1 Br.

145

NP41 / 31133
P.W.D.

p143

Submitted for information.

2. The further report of P.H.E. to Govt. may be awaited.

19-356

Km
20/3/12

As (IV).

T for

NP41 / 31133
p.w.D.

Resubmitter

The p. h. c. to Govt. may
and per ^{with} the post
express letter
approved
17/5/86

2
be expected to
put up for
17/1/54

Supelt

EXPRESS LETTER.

FROM

BOM. WORKS, EOMBAY;

TO

~~THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,~~
~~THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,~~

The P.H.E. to S.A.

No.

NP41/31133-T

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

Sanctuary
Bombay Castle (Bombay No. 1).

18-5-1956.

Subject.—

*J.R. of K.P.H.W. on
for 51-52*

Please submit very urgently the information called for in Government
Endorsement Letter *No. further request w.r.t. your letter No.*

(A-4)/A-1-55/4078, dt. the 15-3-56.

Superintendent,
Public Works Department, *Secretariat*

Copy forwarded to the Superintending Engineer
the Executive Engineer for information.

17/4/56

IS-52
Date

14j

Urgent.

No.A.4/A.1.55/ 8890 of 1956.

Peona 1, Dated: 30 May 1956.

To

The Chief Engineer and
Joint Secretary to Government,
Public Works Deptt. (Irrigation),
B o m b a y - 1.

Subject:- Inspection Report of Karnatak Public Health Works Dn.,
Dharwar for 51-52 - paras 18(a) and 26(e).

Reference: Government Express letter, Public Works Department's No.
M.P.41/31133-I of 18.5.56.

2. The required information on paras 18(a) and 26(e) is yet awaited from the Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public Health Works Division, Dharwar, who is being expressly reminded in the matter. On receipt of the same from him, it will be submitted to Government.

D.A. NIL.
GB:27.5.56/-

B. J. Rao
Public Health Engineer
to Government.

Je
20

P.	2.
File No.	NP 4
Serial	60203

T
31/5

31-5

Tbr.

151

NP. 412 / 62203

P.W.D.

149 ante.
Submitted for information

Amitt

Vms
4/6/56

App: [unclear]

4/6/56

AS (E)

For [unclear]

10

12

Copy of letter No.1505 dated 18th November 1955 from Shri G.K.Adshetti, Deputy Engineer, Chembur W.W.Central Sub Divn. Bombay, addressed to the Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public Health Works Dn. Dharwar, copy endorsed to this office.

"Subject:- Inspection Report of Karnatak Public Health Works Division, Dharwar, for the year 1951-52.
Para 18(a)-

Reference: This office letter No.1163 dated 30.8.55 addressed to you.

Under the reference quoted above, I had requested you to be kind enough to supply me certain extracts of documents, having bearing on the subject matter cited above to enable me to submit the reply, but it is regretted to note that I have not been supplied with these extracts so far.

The Public Health Engineer to Government in his D.O.letter No.A.4/A.1.55/16071 dated 22.10.55 has written me to forward my reply as the same is required by the Government immediately. Under the circumstances, I am putting my reply to para 18(a) of the Inspection Report for your information and disposal as below :-

"Action under clause 2 or 3 of the agreement against the defaulting contractor has to be taken by the Executive Engineer, as this is not within the competence of the undersigned.


Further I was not holding the charge of the sub-Division (in which the work was being executed) on 19.8.1950 when the remaining work was given out on this date to another contractor by the Executive Engineer and as such I have no knowledge of the new contract.

I had measured the work for running account bills of the old defaulting contractor during my tenure of office.

Before the new contractor started the work, the Deputy Engineer, who was then holding the charge of the Sub-Division should have measured the upto date work and obtained the defaulting contractor's signature in the measurement book to prevent chances of conflict."

--

"TRUE COPY"

X.X 
Head Clerk to the -

Public Health Engineer to Government.

gmK/11.7.56.

155
No.A.4/A.1.55/

11164

of 1956.

Poona 1:dated: 11th : July : 1956.

To

The Chief Engineer and
Secretary to Government,
P.W.D (Irrigation), Bombay 1.

Subject:- Inspection Report of Karnatak Public Health
Works Division, Dharwar for the year 1951-52
para 18(a) and 26(e).

Reference: Correspondence resting with this office No.
A.4/A.1.55/8890 of 30.5.56.

2. Further report on the following paras is submitted
herewith.

Para 18(a) : Vide the Executive Engineer's reply, reproduced
below, which is confirmed.

"The explanation submitted by Shri G.K.Adshetti, Deputy
Engineer, the then Sub Divisional Officer, I/c of the Sub
Division (under his No.1505 dated 18.11.55 - copy enclosed)
does not appear to be to the point. The copies of the documents
which he had called for such as estimate, tender etc. are not
necessary for giving explanation for failure to record measurements
as required by clause 3(c) of the ~~poi~~ printed form B.2. A notice
under the contract condition was served upon the contractor vide
this office No.A.I.A/2221 dated 15.4.50 and copy was sent to the
Sub Divisional Officer. In fact, the Sub Divisional Officer
should have recorded the measurements of work done then immediately.

Moreover before leaving the Sub Division (from 12.8.50)
the Sub Divisional Officer, (Shri Adshetti) had called for tenders for
the remaining work and recommended the lowest ~~are~~ for acceptance
under his No.46/1345 dated 2.8.50. Thus Shri Adshetti's contention
that he was not in charge of the sub Division does not stand.

As Shri Adshetti had recorded the measurements of the
work done for R.A. Bills purposes, he should have also recorded the
final measurements of work done immediately after 15.4.50. The final
measurements for this purpose were then recorded by Shri V.D.Kulkarni
Deputy Engineer on 9.9.51 and payment made for the same."

As the matter pertains to the year 50-51 and the then
Sub Divisional Officer, Shri Adshetti is not now serving in this
Department and as the final payment has already been made, it is
recommended that the matter may please be considered as closed now.

Para 26(e) : Vide Executive Engineer's reply reproduced below
which is confirmed.

"As the matter pertains to the year 1951-52 and due
to transfer of hand, the then position cannot be ascertained
accurately. However it appears that at the time of replying the
Inspection report, an approximate figure of 160 d bags was
mentioned. But at the time of recommending the survey report, the the
Executive Engineer appears to have inspected all such bags and -
found out only 120 bags having clodded and remaining being useful.
Hence survey report of 120 bags was recommended for sanction.

D.A. One.
gmk/11.7.56.

xx
Public Health Engineer
to Government.

Copy forwarded to the Executive Engineer, Karnatak Public
Health Works Dn. Dharwar, for information with reference to his
letter No.SAC/Insp./4381 of 11.6.56.

Shri Desai (A)
in 17

186

P. W. D.	
File No.	P. 41
Serial No.	77064

T
12/1

T
12/1/58

-157

R. A. Naik, I.A.S.,
Deputy Accountant General (Works),

- 6 AUGUST 1956

D.O.NO.PWV/K/IR/1290

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL?

B O M B A Y - 1, the August 1956

Dear Shri Naegamwala,


Subject: Inspection Report of the Karnatak
Public Health Works Division for
the year 1951--52.

137 aut

Kindly refer to the correspondence resting with
Government Public Works Department letter No. NP 41/140214T
of 16th December 1955 in reply to Jain's D.O.No.PWV/K.P.H-
2047 dated 22nd November 1955 to you.

2. As the matter has ^{been} under consideration of Govern-
ment for over ~~one~~ and half years, could you expedite the
issue of final orders of Government on paras 18(a) and
29(e) of the Report?

+1612

Yours Sincerely,

(R. A. Naik.)

NA:6/8

To
Shri J.P.Naegamwala, B.E., B.S.E.(I),
Deputy Secretary to Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
B o m b a y - 1.

be

2/1/8

PR. 11/28/56
S. St. Desai
7/8/56
Desai 10/2

P. W. D.	
File No.	41241
Serial No.	87064

T. 1/8
7/5

T hr.

159

NP 41 / 77064
87084

p.w.D.

Submitted.

The P.H.E. to Govt. has submitted his report on paras 18(a) and para 26(e) which are the only outstanding paras. The A.G. B'ary has expedited Govt. for orders on these paras. An interim reply is proposed to be given to the A.G. B'ary separately. The paras are noted below:-

Para 18(a) :- Please see the I.C.'s remarks on this para at p. 21 ante. wherein it has been pointed that unfinished ~~part~~ of the work of construction of 2 Crude Oil Storage Tanks at Dharmuwal, was entrusted to a contractor whose tender was second lowest. It has been further pointed out that before the unfinished work was given to another contractor the finished ~~part~~ work of the first contractor was not measured. Please see the Office note at the 7-1-55 at p. 87 ante. wherein it was proposed that the irregularity may be condoned & all the S.D.'s may be instructed by the E.E. not to avoid such irregularities. However, in view of the F.D.'s remarks at p. 88 ante. the E.E. was ⁹³ asked to obtain the explanation of the S.D. concerned even though he is now working in some other Division.

2 The P.H.E. to Govt. has
 now furnished the ¹⁵⁵ explanation of the S.D.O. Shri
 Adshetti and also the E.E.'s remarks thereon.
 Shri Adshetti in his ¹³³ explanation has
 stated that he was not holding
 charge of the sub-bu (in which the
 work in question was being executed) on
 19-8-50 when the remaining work was
 given out to another contractor etc. The
 E.E. in his letter (extract at p. 155 ante.) has
~~stated~~ shown how Shri Adshetti alone is
 responsible and that his contention that
 he was not in charge of the sub-bu
 is not correct. The E.E. however, has
 stated that as Shri Adshetti is not
 in service the matter may please be
 considered as closed. ~~done~~. The P.H.E. has also endorsed his views.

3 In the circumstances Govt. orders
 on this para may be: -

" The S.D.O.'s explanation is
 not acceptable. The irregularity is
 condoned. The E.E. should instruct the all
 the S.D.Os. under him to avoid such
 irregularities in future."

3 The orders proposed above
 may issue after w.r. to the F.D.

" The Q. No. 133

25/9/56 Q. No.

4 Para 26(c) P.H.E. has now clarified that the
 no. of bags of cement which clotted,
 was only 120 and not 160 as stated by the
 E.E. in his original ¹³³ notes. The P.H.E. has already

P.S.

Sanctioned a survey report in respect of 120 hap vide "A" on page 42 ante.

48/

5. In view of this, orders on the para may be as proposed in ~~Order~~ note of 8.12.54 at pages 75-76 ante. F.D. may see, w.r.to that Deptt. note of 17.12.54 at page 76 ante.

28/1/56

3096

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Hil. No. V

13 SEP 1956

Serial No. 41009

infr 12/4

285 (B)

285

285 (B)

10/9

28/1/56

12/11/56

U.O.V. to the Fin Deptt, dated 13 Sept. 1956

F.D.

VI

Submitted with ref. to the Order no. of 18.12.54 on p. 76 ante & of 19.1.55 on p. 88 ante.

2. In view of the position now explained by the P.W.D. its proposals in respect of para 18 (a) & 21 (c) ^{2 sub. before} may be accepted.

Presd

17.9.56

S.N.
17.9.56

17/9

now to P.W.D. of 19.1.56

P. W. D.

File No. NP 41

Serial No. 19519

Shri Sai P.
27/9

Presd

21/9. A.S. 15

29/9/56

T h.

NP 41/19579
p.w.D.

Submitted with ref. to -
this Dept's ⁱⁿ ~~u.o.r.~~ dt the 13-9-58

2 The F.D. having agreed;
to necessary ^{171 p-st} orders on forms 18(a) & 26(a)
may issue

22/9/58

Thro. Q. R.

Ca
24.9.58

Thro
24/9/58

AS(III)



R.A.Naik, I.A.S.,
Dy. Accountant General (Works).

D.O.No.PWV/K/IR/1456

Office of the Accountant General.

Bombay, the 22nd August
23rd

1956.

Dear Shri Naegamwala,

Kindly refer to my D.O.No.PWV/K/IR/1290 dated the 6th inst. in connection with the Inspection Report of the Karnatak Public Health Works Division, Dharwar, for the year 1951-52.

Since the issue of Government orders on paragraphs 18(a) & 26(e) of the Report have been inordinately delayed, I would request immediate action in the matter.

Subs concerned

Pl y. x

24/8

Yours sincerely,

(R.A.Naik.)

23/8

To

B.E.
Shri J.P.Naegamwala, A.M.I.E., A.M.Am.Soc.C.E.,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Sachivalaya, BOMBAY-1.

x 3 spoken 6/11
24/8/56

pl :ec f m/f
o/f

I. K. Singh
F. G. D. D. D.
with F. D.
24/8/58

P. W. D.	
File No	N 41
Ser	93594
T 25/8	
2/8/58	

T.R. 1.5 (32)* NP41/93594
p.w.d

Submitted
for 1 copy

2. The main papers are under submission separately with draft Govt. orders on pages 18 (a) & 26 (e) of the G.R. These papers are proposed to be referred to the Fin. Dept. If approval in interim reply may be given to the Dy. A.G. as per draft. Do. put up for approval.


N.I.
27/8/11

30/8/58

30/8

D.S.

D.O. N. NP 41/93594-T



p.w.D.
Sachinlalaya
B'bay No. 1 31st Aug 56

Sub: Insp. Report of K.P.H.W.D.
for 1951-52

Dear

Kindly refer to your D.O.
letter No. PWV/KPR/1452 ^{with} dt. 23-8-56,
on the subject mentioned above

2 The question of issuing Orders
in paras 18(a) & 26(e) of the above-mentioned
I.R. is under the active consideration of
Govt. & a communication in this matter
will be ~~sent~~ ^{addressed to you} as early as possible.

Yours
(J.P. Naegamwala)

To

Shri R.A. Naik I.A.S.

By: Accountant General (works)

Office of the A.G., B'bay

B'bay No. 1

169
D.O. No. NP 41/93594-T. Public Works Department,
Sachivalaya,
Bombay-1, 31st August, 1956.

Subject:- Inspection Report of Karnatak
Public Health Works Division
for 1951-52.

Dear Shri Naik,

Kindly refer to your D.O. letter No. PWV/IR/
1456, dated the 23rd August 1956, on the subject
mentioned above.

2. The question of issuing Orders in paragraphs
18(a) and 26(e) of the above-mentioned Inspection
Report is under the active consideration of
Government and a communication in this matter will
be addressed to you as early as possible.

Yours sincerely,

4/9 o/c
2pps
(J. P. Naegamvala)

Shri R. A. Naik, I.A.S.,
Deputy Accountant General (Works),
Office of the Accountant General, Bombay,
Bombay No.1.

4/9
2.DRN.31.8.56.

P.W.D.
Sachivalaya
B'way No. 1 27th Sept 56

To

The A.G. B'way

Sub: J.R. of K.P.H.W. Bd for 1951-52

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the corresp. relating with B.W. letter No. NP41/93547-7, dt. the 31-8-56, from Shri J.P. Naegambala, B.S.E.(I), Dy. Secy. to the Govt of B., P.W.D., addressed to Shri R.A. Naik, I.A.S., Dy. A.G. (Works), on the subject mentioned above, and to communicate Govt. orders in paras 18(a) & 26(e) of the above-mentioned J.R. as follows:—

Copy of A 153
encl

Para 18 (a) :— "The S.D.O's explanation [Copy enclosed] is not acceptable. The irregularity is condoned. The E.E. should instruct all the S.D.O's under him to avoid such irregularities in future "

Para 26 (e) :— "In view of the orders issued by the P.H.E. no further orders of Govt. are necessary on the para "

DA 677

P.T.O.

Y.f.
Asst. Secy to S.L.

Copy forwarded to
the P.H.E. to Govt
The Ex. Engr. K.P.H. W. Dn

for info.

Sub...	D
Date... 3/10	with...

Issue and return with
a photocopy
25/9

13/10

Sh. Sec. pl. aff. 11

11/10/10

Recd
13/10